Practice Guidelines for Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography

An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists Task Force on Transesophageal Echocardiography*

PRACTICE Guidelines are systematically developed recommendations that is a systematically developed recommendations that is a systematically developed recommendation of the systematical ommendations that assist the practitioner and the patient in making decisions about health care. These recommendations may be adopted, modified, or rejected according to clinical needs and constraints and are not intended to replace local institutional policies. In addition, Practice Guidelines developed by the American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) are not intended as standards or absolute requirements, and their use cannot guarantee any specific outcome. Practice Guidelines are subject to revision as warranted by the evolution of medical knowledge, technology, and practice. They provide basic recommendations that are supported by a synthesis and analysis of the current literature, expert and practitioner opinion, open forum commentary, and clinical feasibility data.

This update includes data published since the Practice Guidelines for Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography were adopted by the ASA and the Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists in 1995 and published in 1996.¹

Methodology

Definition of Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography

For these Guidelines, perioperative transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) refers to TEE performed on surgical patients before, during, or immediately after surgery, including the critical care setting. Evidence of effectiveness is discussed relative to specific settings where perioperative TEE is customarily used (e.g., cardiac surgery, noncardiac surgery, and critical care).

Purposes of the Guidelines

The purposes of these Guidelines are (1) to assist the physician in determining the appropriate application of TEE and (2) to improve the outcomes of surgical patients by defining the utility of perioperative TEE based on the strength of supporting evidence.

Focus

These Guidelines focus on the application of TEE in surgical patients and potential surgical patients in the setting of cardiac surgery, noncardiac surgery, and postoperative critical care. The Guidelines do not apply to the assessment of nonsurgical patients or to postdischarge follow-up assessment of surgical patients.

The Task Force believes that physician proficiency in the use of perioperative TEE is of paramount importance due to the risk of adverse outcomes resulting from incorrect interpretation. The Guidelines do not address training, certification, credentialing, and quality assurance, which are addressed elsewhere.²⁻⁵

Application

These Guidelines are intended for anesthesiologists and other physicians (e.g., cardiologists, surgeons, and intensivists) who use TEE in the perioperative setting. Recommendations to perform TEE are not applicable when the proce-

^{*} Developed by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Perioperative Transesophageal Echocardiography: Daniel M. Thys, M.D., Chair, New York, New York; Martin D. Abel, M.B.B.Ch., Rochester, Minnesota; Robert F. Brooker, M.D., Wausau, Wisconsin; Michael K. Cahalan, M.D., Salt Lake City, Utah; Richard T. Connis, Ph.D., Woodinville, Washington; Peggy G. Duke, M.D., Atlanta, Georgia; David G. Nickinovich, Ph.D., Bellevue, Washington; Scott T. Reeves, M.D., Charleston, South Carolina; Marc A. Rozner, Ph.D., M.D., Houston, Texas; Isobel A. Russell, M.D., San Francisco, California; Scott C. Streckenbach, M.D., Boston, Massachusetts; Pamela Sears-Rogan, M.D., Washington, DC (American Society of Echocardiography); and William J. Stewart, M.D., Cleveland, Ohio (American College of Cardiology).

Received from American Society of Anesthesiologists, Park Ridge, Illinois. Submitted for publication October 22, 2009. Accepted for publication October 22, 2009. Supported by the American Society of Anesthesiologists and developed under the direction of the Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters, Jeffrey L. Apfelbaum, M.D. (Chair). Approved by the ASA House of Delegates on October 21, 2009, and the SCA Board of Directors on October 21, 2009. A complete bibliography used to develop these Guidelines, arranged alphabetically, is available as Supplemental Digital Content 1, http://links.lww.com/ALN/A567.

Address correspondence to The American Society of Anesthesiologists: 520 N. Northwest Highway, Park Ridge, Illinois 60068-2573. This Practice Guideline, as well as all ASA Practice Parameters, may be obtained at no cost through the Journal Web site, www.anesthesiology.org.

Supplemental digital content is available for this article. Direct URL citations appear in the printed text and are available in both the HTML and PDF versions of this article. Links to the digital files are provided in the HTML text of this article on the Journal's Web site (www.anesthesiology.org).

dure cannot be performed properly or safely nor do they apply when TEE equipment or skilled examiners are unavailable. The recommendations in this report are based on consideration of the risk benefit ratio for individual patients.

Task Force Members and Consultants

The ASA and Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists jointly appointed a task force of 13 members, including anesthesiologists in both private and academic practice from various geographic areas of the United States, two cardiologists (one representing the American College of Cardiology and the other representing the American Society of Echocardiography), and two consulting methodologists from the ASA Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters.

The Task Force developed the Guidelines by means of a seven-step process. First, they reached consensus on the criteria for evidence. Second, original published research studies from peer-reviewed journals relevant to TEE were reviewed and evaluated. Third, expert consultants were asked (1) to participate in opinion surveys on the effectiveness of TEE imaging and (2) to review and comment on a draft of the Guidelines developed by the Task Force. Fourth, opinions about the Guidelines recommendations were solicited from a sample of active members of the ASA who personally perform TEE as a part of their practice. Fifth, the Task Force held an open forum at a major international meeting[†] to solicit input on its draft recommendations. Sixth, the consultants were surveyed to assess their opinions on the feasibility of implementing the Guidelines. Seventh, all available information was used to build consensus within the Task Force to finalize the Guidelines (appendix 1).

Availability and Strength of Evidence

Preparation of these Guidelines followed a rigorous methodologic process (appendix 2). Evidence was obtained from two principal sources: scientific evidence and opinion-based evidence.

Scientific Evidence

Study findings from scientific literature published after 1994 (not excluding sentinel articles published prior to 1994) were aggregated and reported in summary form by evidence category, as described later. All literature (*e.g.*, randomized controlled trials, observational studies, and case reports) relevant to each topic was considered when evaluating the findings. For reporting purposes in this document, only the highest level of evidence (*i.e.*, levels 1, 2, or 3 identified below) within each category (*i.e.*, A, B, or C) is included in the summary.

Copyright © by the American Society of Anesthesiologists. Unauthorized reproduction of this article is prohibited

Category A: Supportive Literature

Randomized controlled trials report statistically significant (P < 0.01) differences between clinical interventions for a specified clinical outcome.

- Level 1. The literature contains multiple randomized controlled trials, and the aggregated findings are supported by metaanalysis.‡
- Level 2. The literature contains multiple randomized controlled trials, but there is an insufficient number of studies to conduct a viable meta-analysis for the purpose of these Guidelines.
- Level 3. The literature contains a single randomized controlled trial.

Category B: Suggestive Literature

Information from observational studies permits inference of beneficial or harmful relationships among clinical interventions and clinical outcomes.

- Level 1. The literature contains observational comparisons (*e.g.*, cohort and case–control research designs) of two or more clinical interventions or conditions and indicates statistically significant differences between clinical interventions for a specified clinical outcome.
- Level 2. The literature contains noncomparative observational studies with associative (*e.g.*, relative risk, correlation) or descriptive statistics.

Level 3. The literature contains case reports.

Category C: Equivocal Literature

The literature cannot determine whether there are beneficial or harmful relationships among clinical interventions and clinical outcomes.

- Level 1. Meta-analysis did not find significant differences among groups or conditions.
- Level 2. There is an insufficient number of studies to conduct meta-analysis, and (1) randomized controlled trials have not found significant differences among groups or conditions, or (2) randomized controlled trials report inconsistent findings.
- Level 3. Observational studies report inconsistent findings or do not permit inference of beneficial or harmful relationships.

Category D: Insufficient Evidence from Literature

The lack of scientific evidence in the literature is described by the following conditions.

- (1) No identified studies address the specified relationships among interventions and outcomes.
- (2) The available literature cannot be used to assess the relationships among clinical interventions and clinical outcomes. The literature either does not meet the criteria for content as defined in the "Focus" of the Guidelines or does not permit a clear interpretation of findings due to methodologic concerns (*e.g.*, confounding in study design or implementation).

2

[†] Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, 30th Annual Meeting, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, June 20, 2008.

[‡] All meta-analyses are conducted by the ASA methodology group. Meta-analyses from other sources are reviewed but not included as evidence in this document.

Opinion-based Evidence

All opinion-based evidence relevant to each topic (*e.g.*, survey data, open-forum testimony, Internet-based comments, letters, and editorials) was considered in the development of these Guidelines. However, only the findings obtained from formal surveys are reported.

Opinion surveys were developed by the Task Force to address each clinical intervention identified in the document. Identical surveys were distributed to two groups of respondents: expert consultants and ASA members.

Category A: Expert Opinion

Survey responses from Task Force–appointed expert consultants are reported in summary form in the text. A complete listing of consultant survey responses is reported in a table in appendix 2.

Category B: Membership Opinion

Survey responses from a sample of members of the ASA are reported in summary form in the text. A complete listing of ASA member survey responses is reported in a table in appendix 2.

Expert consultant and ASA membership survey responses are recorded using a 5-point scale and summarized based on median values.§

- Strongly agree: median score of 5 (at least 50% of the responses are 5).
- Agree: median score of 4 (at least 50% of the responses are 4 or 4 and 5).
- Equivocal: median score of 3 (at least 50% of the responses are 3, or no other response category or combination of similar categories contain at least 50% of the responses).
- Disagree: median score of 2 (at least 50% of responses are 2 or 1 and 2).

Strongly disagree: median score of 1 (at least 50% of responses are 1).

Category C: Informal Opinion

Open-forum testimony, Internet-based comments, letters, and editorials are all informally evaluated and discussed during the development of Guidelines recommendations. When warranted, the Task Force may add educational information or cautionary notes based on this information.

Guidelines

Cardiac and Thoracic Aortic Procedures

Cardiac and thoracic aortic procedures consist of cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery, and catheter-based intracardiac procedures.

Cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery: For cardiac or thoracic aortic surgery patients, the literature reports variations in sensitivity, specificity, or positive and negative predictive val-

ues for the detection of abnormalities relating to valvular, coronary, aortic, congenital, and other cardiovascular disease (table 1 in appendix 2). Examples of these abnormalities include mitral valve abnormalities, valvular abscesses, myocardial ischemia, aortic dissection, and atrial septal defect (*Category B2 evidence*). The literature also reports a range of sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values for the confirmation or refinement by TEE of the preoperative diagnosis (table 1 in appendix 2). Examples include aortic dissection, aortic intramural hemorrhage, and valvular or mural infective endocarditis lesions (*Category B2 evidence*). The ASA members agree and the consultants strongly agree that TEE should be used for all cardiac or thoracic aortic surgery patients.

Recommendations for cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery. For adult patients without contraindications, TEE should be used in all open heart (*e.g.*, valvular procedures) and thoracic aortic surgical procedures and should be considered in coronary artery bypass graft surgeries to: (1) confirm and refine the preoperative diagnosis, (2) detect new or unsuspected pathology, (3) adjust the anesthetic and surgical plan accordingly, and (4) assess the results of surgical intervention. In small children, the use of TEE should be considered on a case-by-case basis because of risks unique to these patients (*e.g.*, bronchial obstruction).

Catheter-based intracardiac procedures: Studies with observational findings confirm the utility of TEE or intracardiac echocardiography for guiding management of catheter-based intracardiac procedures (e.g., occluder device placement, percutaneous valvular procedures, and intracardiac ablation procedures) (Category B2 evidence). In addition, studies with observational findings report the detection of unsuspected abnormalities by TEE, such as aortic root abscess, atrial thrombi, atrial septal aneurysm, shunting, mitral valve/annular calcification and regurgitation, wall motion abnormalities, and tamponade (Category B2 evidence). The detection of pericardial effusion is also reported (Category B3 evidence).

Both the consultants and ASA members agree that TEE should be used for patients undergoing transcatheter intracardiac procedures when general anesthesia is provided and intracardiac ultrasound is not used. The ASA members agree and the consultants strongly agree that TEE should be used for septal defect closure or atrial appendage obliteration. Both the consultants and ASA members strongly agree that TEE should be used during catheter-based valve replacement and repair. Finally, both the consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding the use of TEE during dysrhythmia treatment.

Recommendations for catheter-based intracardiac pro*cedures.* For patients undergoing transcatheter intracardiac procedures, TEE may be used.

Noncardiac Surgery

For noncardiac surgery patients, studies with observational findings or case reports note the detection of the following abnormalities by TEE: (1) venous air embolism and patent foramen

Anesthesiology, V 112 • No 5 • May 2010

[§] When an equal number of responses are obtained, the median value is determined by calculating the arithmetic mean of the two middle values. Ties are determined by a predetermined formula.

ovale in neurosurgery (*Category B2 evidence*); (2) pericardial effusion and compression of the cardiac chambers in liver transplantation (*Category B3 evidence*); (3) intracardiac emboli and patent foramen ovale (*Category B2 evidence*), mitral regurgitation, left ventricular hypertrophy, and left ventricular outflow tract obstruction in orthopedic surgery (*Category B3 evidence*), (4) left ventricular segmental wall motion abnormalities (*Category B2 evidence*), aortic lesions and atrial tumors in vascular surgery (*Category B3 evidence*), and (5) atrial septal defect, myocardial ischemia, hypovolemia, pericardial tamponade, thromboembolic events (*Category B2 evidence*), pericardial effusion, tamponade, and intrapulmonary emboli in other major surgery (*i.e.*, lung, renal, abdominal, and head/neck/chest wall surgeries) (*Category B3 evidence*).

The consultants and ASA members agree that TEE should be used for noncardiac surgical patients when the patient has known or suspected cardiovascular pathology that might result in hemodynamic, pulmonary, or neurologic compromise. The consultants and ASA members both strongly agree that TEE should be used during unexplained persistent hypotension. Further, both the consultants and ASA members agree that TEE should be used when persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs. The ASA members agree and the consultants strongly agree that TEE should be used when life-threatening hypotension is anticipated.

Both the consultants and ASA members agree that TEE should be used during either lung transplantation or major abdominal or thoracic trauma. The consultants agree although the ASA members are equivocal regarding the use of TEE during open abdominal aortic procedures and liver transplantation. Both the consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding the use of TEE during: (1) endovascular aortic procedures, (2) neurosurgery in the sitting position, and (3) percutaneous cardiovascular interventions (*e.g.*, femoral artery stenting). Finally, the consultants and ASA members both disagree with the assertion that TEE should be used during orthopedic surgery.

Recommendations for noncardiac surgery. TEE may be used when the nature of the planned surgery or the patient's known or suspected cardiovascular pathology might result in severe hemodynamic, pulmonary, or neurologic compromise. If equipment and expertise are available, TEE should be used when unexplained life-threatening circulatory instability persists despite corrective therapy.

Critical Care

Studies with observational findings for critically ill patients with an unexplained adverse postoperative clinical course report TEE detection for the following abnormalities: regurgitant valvular lesions, aortic or mitral valve vegetation, aortic dissection, intracardiac mass, tamponade, ventricular failure, and hypovolemia (*Category B2 evidence*). Case reports of critically ill postoperative patients indicate that TEE detects abnormalities such as aortic root abscess, pericardial hematoma, atherosclerotic debris in the thoracic aorta, left ventricular hypertrophy, wall motion abnormalities, and ventricular masses (*Category B3 evidence*).

Both the consultants and ASA members strongly agree that TEE should be used for critical care patients when diagnostic information expected to alter management cannot be obtained by transthoracic echocardiography or other modalities in a timely manner. The ASA members agree and the consultants strongly agree that TEE should be used during unexplained persistent hypotension. They both agree that TEE should be used when persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs.

Recommendations for critical care. For critical care patients, TEE should be used when diagnostic information that is expected to alter management cannot be obtained by transthoracic echocardiography or other modalities in a timely manner.

Contraindications for the Use of TEE

Studies with observational findings and case reports indicate that, although rare, potential complications associated with TEE may include esophageal perforation, esophageal injury, hematoma, laryngeal palsy, dysphagia, dental injury, or death (*Category B2 evidence*). However, there is insufficient literature to assess whether there are contraindications for the use of TEE (*Category D evidence*).

Both the consultants and ASA members are equivocal with regard to whether there are no absolute contraindications to TEE other than previous esophagectomy or esophagogastrectomy. Those consultants and ASA members who do not agree that there are no absolute contraindications other than previous esophagectomy or esophagogastrectomy do agree that the following four conditions should be absolute contraindications to stricture, tracheoesophageal TEE: esophageal fistula, postesophageal surgery, and esophageal trauma. Both the consultants and ASA members disagree that the following four conditions should be absolute contraindications to TEE: Barrett esophagus, hiatal hernia, large descending aortic aneurysm, and unilateral vocal cord paralysis. Finally, both the consultants and ASA members are equivocal with regard to whether the following three conditions should be absolute contraindications to TEE: esophageal varices, postradiation therapy, and previous bariatric surgery. The consultants agree but the ASA members are equivocal that Zenker diverticulum and colonic interposition are absolute contraindications. Finally, the ASA members disagree and the consultants are equivocal that dysphagia is an absolute contraindication to TEE.

Recommendations. TEE may be used for patients with oral, esophageal, or gastric disease, if the expected benefit outweighs the potential risk, provided the appropriate precautions are applied. These precautions may include the following: considering other imaging modalities (*e.g.*, epicardial echocardiography), obtaining a gastroenterology consultation using a smaller probe, limiting the examination, avoiding unnecessary probe manipulation, and using the most experienced operator.

References

- American Society of Anesthesiologists: Practice guidelines for perioperative transesophageal echocardiography. An-ESTHESIOLOGY 1996; 84:986-1006
- 2. Béïque F, Ali M, Hynes M, MacKenzie S, Denault A, Martineau A, MacAdams C, Sawchuk C, Hirsch K, Lampa M, Murphy P, Honos G, Munt B, Sanfilippo A, Duke P: Cardiovascular Section of the Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society; Canadian Society of Echocardiography: Canadian guidelines for training in adult perioperative transesophageal echocardiography. Recommendations of the Cardiovascular Section of the Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. Can J Anaesth 2006; 53:1044-60
- 3. Cahalan MK, Abel M, Goldman M, Pearlman A, Sears-Rogan P, Russell I, Shanewise J, Stewart W, Troianos C: American Society of Echocardiography; Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists, American Society of Echocardiography, and Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists task force guidelines for training in perioperative echocardiography. Anesth Analg 2002; 94:1384-8
- 4. Mathew JP, Glas K, Troianos CA, Sears-Rogan P, Savage R, Shanewise J, Kisslo J, Aronson S, Shernan S: Council for Intraoperative Echocardiography of the American Society of Echocardiography: ASE/Society of Cardiovascular Anesthesiologists recommendations and guidelines for continuous quality improvement in perioperative echocardiography. Anesth Analg 2006; 103:1416-25
- Swanevelder J, Chin D, Kneeshaw J, Chambers J, Bennett S, Smith D, Nihoyannopoulos P: Accreditation in transoesophageal echocardiography: Statement from the Association of Cardiothoracic Anaesthetists and the British Society of Echocardiography Joint TOE Accreditation Committee. Br J Anaesth 2003; 91:469-72
- 6. De Castro S, Cartoni D, d'Amati G, Beni S, Yao J, Fiorell M, Gallo P, Fedele F, Pandian NG: Diagnostic accuracy of transthoracic and multiplane transesophageal echocardiography for valvular perforation in acute infective endocarditis: Correlation with anatomic findings. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 30:825-6
- Espinal M, Fuisz AR, Nanda NC, Aaluri SR, Mukhtar O, Sekar PC: Sensitivity and specificity of transesophageal echocardiography for determination of aortic valve morphology. Am Heart J 2000; 139:1071-6
- Hellemans IM, Pieper EG, Ravelli AC, Hamer JP, Jaarsma W, van den Brink RB, Peels CH, van Swieten H, Tijssen JG, Visser CA: Comparison of transthoracic and transesophageal echocardiography with surgical findings in mitral regurgitation. Am J Cardiol 1996; 77:728-33
- Muller S, Muller L, Laufer G, Alber H, Dichtl W, Frick M, Pachinger O, Bartel T: Comparison of three-dimensional imaging to transesophageal echocardiography for preoperative evaluation in mitral valve prolapse. Am J Cardiol 2006; 98:243–8
- Grewal KS, Malkowski MJ, Kramer CM, Dianzumba S, Reichek N: Multiplane transesophageal echocardiographic identification of the involved scallop in patients with flail mitral valve leaflet: Intraoperative correlation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1998; 11:966-71
- 11. Senni M, Merlo M, Sangiorgi G, Gamba A, Procopio A, Glauber M, Ferrazzi P: Mitral valve repair and transesophageal echocardiographic findings in a high-risk subgroup of patients with active, acute infective endocarditis. J Heart Valve Dis 2001; 10:72-7
- Morguet AJ, Werner GS, Andreas S, Kreuzer H: Diagnostic value of transesophageal compared with transthoracic echocardiography in suspected prosthetic valve endocarditis. Herz 1995; 20:390-8
- 13. San Roman JA, Vilacosta I, Sarria C, de la Fuente L, Sanz O, Vega JL, Ronderos R, Gonzalez Pinto A, Jesus Rollan M, Graupner C, Batlle E, Lahulla F, Stoermann W, Portis M, Fernandez-Aviles F: Clinical course, microbiologic profile, and diagnosis of periannular complications in prosthetic valve endocarditis. Am J Cardiol 1999; 83:1075-9

- 14. Comunale ME, Body SC, Ley C, Koch C, Roach G, Mathew JP, Herskowitz A, Mangano DT: The concordance of intraoperative left ventricular wall-motion abnormalities and electrocardiographic S-T segment changes: Association with outcome after coronary revascularization. Multicenter Study of Perioperative Ischemia (McSPI) Research Group. ANESTHESIOLOGY 1998; 88:945-54
- Kodolitsch Y, Krause N, Spielmann R, Nienaber CA: Diagnostic potential of combined transthoracic echocardiography and x-ray computed tomography in suspected aortic dissection. Clin Cardio 1999; 22:345–52
- Laissy JP, Blanc F, Soyer P, Assayag P, Sibert A, Tebboune D, Arrive L, Brochet E, Hvass U, Langlois J, Menu Y: Thoracic aortic dissection: Diagnosis with transesophageal echocardiography versus MR imaging. Radiology 1995; 194:331-6
- Patel S, Alam M, Rosman H: Pitfalls in the echocardiographic diagnosis of aortic dissection. Angiology 1997; 48:939-46
- Sommer T, Fehske W, Holzknecht N, Smekal AV, Keller E, Lutterbey G, Kreft B, Kuhl C, Gieseke J, Abu RD, Schild H: Aortic dissection: A comparative study of diagnosis with spiral CT, multiplanar transesophageal echocardiography, and MR imaging. Radiology 1996; 199:347-52
- Konstadt SN, Reich DL, Kahn R, Viggiani RF: Transesophageal echocardiography can be used to screen for ascending aortic atherosclerosis. Anesth Analg 1995; 81:225-8
- Minard G, Schurr MJ, Croce MA, Gavant ML, Kudsk KA, Taylor MJ, Pritchard FE, Fabian TC: A prospective analysis of transesophageal echocardiography in the diagnosis of traumatic disruption of the aorta. J Trauma 1996; 40:225–30
- Vignon P, Gueret P, Vedrinne JM, Lagrange P, Cornu E, Abrieu O, Gastinne H, Bensaid J, Lang RM: Role of transesophageal echocardiography in the diagnosis and management of traumatic aortic disruption. Circulation 1995; 92:2959-68
- 22. Singh GK, Shiota T, Cobanoglu A, Droukas P, Rice MJ, Sahn DJ: Diagnostic accuracy and role of intraoperative biplane transesophageal echocardiography in pediatric patients with left ventricle outflow tract lesions. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1998; 11:47-56
- 23. Rosenberger P, Shernan SK, Body SC, Eltzschig HK: Utility of intraoperative transesophageal echocardiography for diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. Anesth Analg 2004; 99:12-6
- 24. Attenhofer CH, Vogt PR, von Segesser LK, Dirsch OR, Ritter M, Jenni R: Leaking giant aneurysm of the aortic root due to cystic medial necrosis with pericardial tamponade mimicking type-A aortic dissection. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1996; 44:103-4
- Theodore S, Vaidyanathan K, Jagannath BR, Nainar M, Krishnamoorthy J, Cherian KM: Takayasu's arteritis mimicking acute aortic dissection. Ann Thorac Surg 2007; 83:1876-8
- Berdat PA, Carrel T: Aortic dissection limited to the ascending aorta mimicking intramural hematoma. Eur J Cardiothorac Surg 1999; 15:108-9
- 27. Vuille C, Trigo-Trindade P, Lerch R, Kalangos A: Common coronary ostium mimicking an aortic abscess in a case of bacterial endocarditis. J Am Soc Echocard 1998; 11:80-2
- Kupersmith AC, Belkin RN, McClung JA, Moggio RA: Aortic valve commissural tear mimicking type A aortic dissection. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2002; 15:658-60
- 29. Akowuah EF, Onyeaka CV, Cooper GJ: A subtle sign of aortic outflow obstruction in an infected 29 year old Starr-Edward's valve. Heart 2001; 85:384
- Sherwood JT, Gill IS: Missed acute ascending aortic dissection. J Card Surg 2001; 16:86-8
- Kaneko Y, Furuse A, Takeshita M, Miyaji K, Yagyu K: Fibrous tissue overgrowth and prosthetic valve endocarditis: report of a case. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1997; 45:150-2
- Park P, Khawly JA, Kearney DL, Altman CA, Yen KG: Bilateral endogenous endophthalmitis secondary to endocarditis with negative transesophageal echocardiogram. Am J Ophthalmol 2004; 138:151-3
- 33. Dalal A, Asirvatham SJ, Chandrasekaran K, Seward JB, Tajik AJ:

Practice Guidelines

Intracardiac echocardiography in the detection of pacemaker lead endocarditis. J Am Soc Echocard 2002; 15:1027-8

- 34. Flachskampf FA, Banbury M, Smedira N, Thomas JD, Garcia M: Transesophageal echocardiography diagnosis of intramural hematoma of the ascending aorta: A word of caution. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 1999; 12:866–70
- Lick SD, Zwischenberger JB, Mileski WJ, Ahmad M: Torn ascending aorta missed by transesophageal echocardiography. Ann Thorac Surg 1997; 63:1768-70
- 36. Kang DH, Song JK, Song MG, Lee IS, Song H, Lee JW, Park SW, Kim YH, Lim TH, Park SJ: Clinical and echocardiographic outcomes of aortic intramural hemorrhage compared with acute aortic dissection. Am J Cardiol 1998; 81:202-6
- Beauchesne LM, Veinot JP, Brais MP, Burwash IG, Chan KL: Acute aortic intimal tear without a mobile flap mimicking an intramural hematoma. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2003; 16:285-8
- Bauer M, Redzepagic S, Weng Y, Hetzer R: Successful surgical treatment of a giant aneurysm of the right coronary artery. Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 1998; 46:152-4
- Kunzli A, von Segesser LK, Vogt PR, Spahn DR, Schneider J, Jenni R, Turina MI: Inflammatory aneurysm of the ascending aorta. Ann Thorac Surg 1998; 65:1132-3
- Paolillo V, Gastaldo D, Barretta A, Guerra F: Idiopathic organized thrombus of the tricuspid valve mimicking valvular tumor. Tex Heart Inst J 2004; 31:192-3
- Konishi H, Fukuda M, Kato M, Misawa Y, Fuse K: Organized thrombus of the tricuspid valve mimicking valvular tumor. Ann Thorac Surg 2001; 71:2022-4

Appendix 1: Summary of Recommendations

Cardiac and Thoracic Aortic Procedures

- · Cardiac and Thoracic Aortic Surgery
 - For adult patients without contraindications, TEE should be used in all open heart (*e.g.*, valvular procedures) and thoracic aortic surgical procedures and should be considered in CABG surgeries as well
 - to confirm and refine the preoperative diagnosis,
 - · to detect new or unsuspected pathology,
 - · to adjust the anesthetic and surgical plan accordingly, and
 - to assess the results of the surgical intervention.
 - In small children, the use of TEE should be considered on a case-by-case basis because of risks unique to these patients (*e.g.*, bronchial obstruction).
- Catheter-Based Intracardiac Procedures
 - For patients undergoing transcatheter intracardiac procedures, TEE may be used.

Noncardiac Surgery

- TEE may be used when the nature of the planned surgery or the patient's known or suspected cardiovascular pathology might result in severe hemodynamic, pulmonary, or neurologic compromise.
- If equipment and expertise are available, TEE should be used when unexplained life-threatening circulatory instability persists despite corrective therapy.

Critical Care

 For critical care patients, TEE should be used when diagnostic information that is expected to alter management cannot be obtained by transthoracic echocardiography or other modalities in a timely manner.

Contraindications for the Use of TEE

- TEE may be used for patients with oral, esophageal, or gastric disease, if the expected benefit outweighs the potential risk, provided the appropriate precautions are applied. These precautions may include:
 - considering other imaging modalities (e.g., epicardial echocardiography)
 - obtaining a gastroenterology consultation
 - using a smaller probe
 - limiting the examination
 - avoiding unnecessary probe manipulation
 - using the most experienced operator

Appendix 2: Methods and Analyses

State of the Literature

For these Guidelines, a literature review was used in combination with opinions obtained from expert consultants and other sources (*e.g.*, ASA members, open forums, Internet postings). Both the literature review and opinion data were based on evidence linkages or statements regarding potential relationships between clinical interventions and outcomes. The efficacy and outcomes from the use of TEE were examined for the following procedures:

- 1. cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery
- 2. transcatheter intracardiac procedures
- 3. pacemaker and implanted cardioverter defibrillator lead extraction
- 4. neurosurgery
- 5. liver transplantation
- 6. orthopedic surgery
- 7. vascular/endovascular surgery
- other major surgery (*i.e.*, lung, renal, abdominal, and head/neck/ chest wall)
- 9. postoperative critical care

The impact of the use of perioperative TEE was assessed on the basis of the following:

- 1. perioperative detection or diagnosis of new or unsuspected pathology
- 2. confirming or refinement of previous perioperative diagnoses
- 3. preoperative or intraoperative refinement of a surgical plan
- 4. detecting complications during surgery
- 5. assessing surgery outcomes
- 6. planning and confirming device placement
- 7. beneficial or adverse patient outcomes from the use of TEE

For the literature review, potentially relevant clinical studies published after 1994 were identified via electronic and manual searches of the literature. The electronic and manual searches covered a 16-yr period from 1994 through 2009. More than 8000 citations were initially identified, yielding a total of 861 nonoverlapping articles that addressed topics related to the evidence linkages. After review of the articles, 404 studies did not provide direct evidence and were subsequently eliminated. A total of 457 articles contained direct linkage-related evidence. A complete bibliography used to develop these Guidelines, organized by section, is available as Supplemental Digital Content 2, http://links.lww.com/ALN/A568.

Literature reporting the detection of new abnormalities by TEE was summarized, followed by a summary of literature reporting the confirmation of previously diagnosed abnormalities by TEE. The

Anesthesiology, V 112 • No 5 • May 2010

Practice Guidelines

sensitivity, specificity, and positive and negative predictive values for the efficacy of TEE in detecting new abnormalities and in confirming or redefining previous diagnoses were also obtained (table 1). Study findings reporting the misdiagnosis or limited effectiveness of TEE to detect pathology are also listed in table 1.

Interobserver agreement among Task Force members and two methodologists was established by interrater reliability testing. Agreement levels using a κ statistic for two-rater agreement pairs were as follows: (1) type of study design, $\kappa = 0.50-1.00$; (2) type of analysis, $\kappa = 0.50-0.83$; (3) evidence linkage assignment, $\kappa = 0.75-1.00$; and (4) literature inclusion for database, $\kappa = 0.78-1.00$. Three-rater chance-corrected agreement values were as follows: (1) study design, Sav = 0.66, Var (Sav) = 0.006; (2) type of analysis, Sav = 0.66, Var (Sav) = 0.007; (3) linkage assignment, Sav = 0.83, Var (Sav) = 0.005; and (4) literature database inclusion, Sav = 0.84, Var (Sav) = 0.046. These values represent moderate to high levels of agreement.

Consensus-based Evidence

Consensus was obtained from multiple sources, including (1) survey opinion from consultants who were selected based on their knowledge or expertise in the perioperative use of TEE, (2) survey opinions solicited from active members of the ASA who personally perform TEE as part of their practice, (3) testimony from attendees of a publicly held

The consultants were asked to indicate which, if any, of the recommendations would change their clinical practices if the Guidelines were instituted. The rate of return was 14% (n = 14 of 103). The percent of responding consultants expecting a change in their practice associated with each linkage topic was as follows: (1) major cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery, 7%; (2) transcatheter intracardiac procedures, 0%; (3) pacemaker and implanted cardioverter defibrillator lead extraction, 7% (4); neurosurgery, 7% (5); liver transplantation, 0% (6); orthopedic surgery, 7% (7); vascular/endovascular surgery, 7%, (8) other major surgery (i.e., lung, renal, abdominal, and head/neck/chest wall), 14%; and (9) postoperative critical care, 21%. Eighty-six percent indicated that their clinical practice will not need new equipment, supplies, or training to implement the Practice Guidelines. Eighty-six percent indicated that the Guidelines would not require ongoing changes in their practice which will affect costs. One hundred percent of the respondents indicated that the Guidelines would have no effect on the amount of time spent on a typical case.

Detection/Diagnosis of Pathology	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV	NPV
Valvular disease:				
Aortic, mitral, or tricuspid valvular perforation (confirmed by surgery or autopsy) ⁶	95	98	*	*
Abnormal bicuspid and tricuspid aortic valve morphology (confirmed by surgery) ⁷				
Biplane TEE	66	56	*	*
Multiplane TEE	87	91	*	*
Chordal rupture (confirmed by surgery) ⁸	79	96	*	*
Mitral valve annular dilatation (confirmed by surgery) ⁸	79 78		*	*
		50	*	*
Mitral valve leaflet degeneration (confirmed by surgery) ⁸	41	87		
Mitral valve prolapse/flail (confirmed by surgery)9				
Bileaflet involvement or combined lesion including the commissures	20	93		
Single leaflet but multiscallop involvement	57	96	*	*
Commissure involvement	11	98	*	*
Mitral valve/flail leaflet scallop (confirmed by surgery) ¹⁰	78	92	*	*
Mitral valve regurgitation (confirmed by surgery) ¹¹	87	100	100%	92%
Mitral vegetation (confirmed by surgery) ¹¹	90	100	100%	75%
Prosthetic valve endocarditis (pathoanatomic confirmation) ¹²	92	97	*	*
Prosthetic valve fistula (confirmed by surgery or necropsy) ¹³	100	100	*	*
Valvular abscess (confirmed by surgery or necropsy) ¹³	90	100	*	*
Coronary disease:				
Myocadial infarction (confirmed by creatine kinase-MB level ≥100	45	73	27%	86%
ng/ml within 12 h after operation or new Q waves on arrival in ICU			,.	00/0
or on morning of postoperative day 1) ¹⁴				
	100	00	*	*
Pseudonaneurysm (confirmed by surgery or necropsy) ¹³	100	98		
Aortic disease:	07	70	*	+
Aortic dissection (confirmed by aortography, surgery, or necropsy) ¹⁵	67	70	<u>^</u>	
Aortic dissection (confirmed by double-blind readings of the images) ¹⁶	86	67	*	×
Aortic dissection—type I or III (confirmed by CT/MRI, surgery, or autopsy) ¹⁷	100	*	*	*
Aortic dissection—thoracic (confirmed by angiography, surgery, or autopsy) ¹⁸	100	94	*	*
Atherosclerosis of the ascending aorta (confirmed by epiaortic	100	60	34%	100%
scanning) ¹⁹ Traumatic disruption of the aorta (confirmed by aortography, clinical	57	91	*	*
findings, or both) ²⁰ Traumatic disruption of the aorta (confirmed by surgery) ²¹	91	100	*	*
Other cardiovascular diseases:				
Left ventricular outflow tract lesions (confirmed by surgery, catheter findings) ²²	94	100	*	*
Pulmonary embolus (confirmed by surgery) ²³				

Table 1. Sensitivity, Specificity, and Predictive Values for Perioperative TEE

False positives/negatives:

Preoperative TEE detected aneurysm and pericardial effusion; neither confirmed at surgery²⁴

Preoperative TEE detected aortic dissection; surgery revealed Takayasu arteritis²⁵

Anywhere within the pulmonary arterial circulation

At one of three specific localizations

Preoperative TEE detected intramural hematoma; surgery revealed aortic dissection²⁶

Preoperative TEE detected mass consistent with periannular abscess; surgery revealed coronary ostium²⁷

Preoperative TEE detected type A aortic dissection; surgery revealed aortic valve commissural tear²⁸

Preoperative TEE did not detect aortic outflow obstruction; surgery revealed occluded valve orifice²⁹

Preoperative TEE did not detect ascending aortic dissection; revealed at surgery³⁰

Preoperative TEE did not detect calcified fibrous tissue obstructing mechanical valve inflow; detected at surgery³¹

46

26

Preoperative TEE did not detect endocarditis, aortic root abscess; revealed at surgery³²

Preoperative TEE did not detect endocarditis; detected by intracardiac echocardiography³³

Preoperative TEE did not detect hematoma of ascending aorta; detected by CT³⁴ Preoperative TEE did not detect torn ascending aorta, detected by aortography³⁵

(continued)

93%

95

32%

Table 1. Continued

Detection/Diagnosis of Pathology	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	PPV	NPV
Confirming/refining diagnosis: Aortic intramural hemorrhage (confirmed by surgery or follow-up changes) ³⁶	100	91	*	*
False positives/negatives:				

Preoperative emergency TEE confirmed intramural hematoma; surgery revealed acute aortic intimal tear without a mobile flap³⁷

Preoperative emergency TEE confirmed pericardial cyst; surgery revealed coronary arterial aneurysm³⁸ Preoperative TEE confirmed ascending aorta dissection; surgery revealed chronic inflammatory aneurysm³⁹ Preoperative TEE confirmed tricuspid valve mass; surgery revealed thrombus⁴⁰ Preoperative TEE confirmed valvular tumor; surgery revealed organized thrombus when resected⁴¹

* No available data.

CT = computed tomography; ICU = intensive care unit; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NPV = negative predictive value; PPV = positive predictive value; TEE = transesophageal echocardiography.

Practice Guidelines

Table 2. Consultant Survey Responses

	n*	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery						
TEE should be used for all cardiac and thoracic	55	61.8†	34.6	0.0	3.6	0.0
aortic surgical patients						
The following responses represent only those						
consultants who disagree that TEE should be						
used for all cardiac and thoracic aortic surgical						
<i>patients.</i> TEE should be used in patients undergoing						
Valve repair	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Aortic valve replacement	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mitral valve replacement	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other valve replacement	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CABG surgery with normal ventricular function	3	0.0	33.3	0.0	66.7†	0.0
CABG surgery with abnormal ventricular function	3	33.3	66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Off-pump CABG	3	0.0	33.3	33.3†	33.3	0.0
Redo cardiac surgery	3	33.3	33.3†	0.0	33.3	0.0
Congenital heart surgery with cardiopulmonary	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
bypass						
Congenital heart surgery without cardiopulmonary bypass	3	33.3	0.0	33.3†	33.3	0.0
Ascending thoracic aortic surgery	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Descending thoracic aortic surgery	3	33.3	0.0	0.0	66.7†	0.0
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy surgery	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Resection of cardiac mass	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Ventricular remodeling surgery	3	100.0†	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Open surgery for dysrhythmias Endocarditis surgery	3 3	33.3 66.7†	33.3† 33.3	0.0 0.0	33.3 0.0	0.0 0.0
Heart transplant	3	33.3	33.3 66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pericardiectomy	3	33.3	66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Open pericardial surgery	3	33.3	0.0	33.3†	33.3	0.0
Ventricular assist device	3	33.3	66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Endoscopically assisted surgery	3	33.3	66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cannulae positioning	3	33.3	66.7†	0.0	0.0	0.0
Transcatheter intracardiac procedures						
For patients undergoing transcatheter intracardiac	54	48.2	37.0†	11.1	3.7	0.0
procedures, TEE should be used when general						
anesthesia is provided and intracardiac						
ultrasound is not used						
TEE should be used for the following transcatheter						
intracardiac procedures						
Septal defect closure	54	83.3†	14.8	1.9	0.0	0.0
Atrial appendage obliteration	53	64.2†	28.3	7.6	0.0	0.0
Valve replacement and repair	54	88.9†	11.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Dysrhythmia treatment	54	14.8	29.6	37.0†	18.5	0.0
Noncardiac surgery						
For noncardiac surgical patients, TEE should be						
used	55	20.1	42 G+	10.7	10.9	1.8
When the patient has known or suspected	55	30.1	43.6†	12.7	10.9	1.8
cardiovascular pathology that might result in						
hemodynamic, pulmonary or neurologic						
	F 4	07.0+	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
During unexplained persistent hypotension	54	87.0†	13.0 38.2†	0.0	0.0	0.0
When persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs When life-threatening hypotension is anticipated	55 55	34.6 54.6†	29.1	18.2 9.1	7.3 7.3	1.8 0.0
TEE should be used in noncardiac surgical patients	55	54.01	23.1	5.1	7.0	0.0
undergoing						
Open abdominal aortic procedures	55	18.2	41.8†	23.6	14.6	1.8
Endovascular aortic procedures	52	17.3	25.0	38.5†	15.4	3.9
Orthopedic surgery	55	5.5	14.6	20.0	50.9†	9.1
Liver transplantation	54	25.9	27.8†	33.3	11.1	1.9
Neurosurgery in the sitting position	55	9.1	30.9	25.5†	30.9	3.6
Percutaneous cardiovascular interventions	55	16.4	27.3	30.9†	16.4	9.1
Lung transplantation	54	40.7	24.1†	29.6	5.6	0.0
Major abdominal or thoracic trauma	53	37.7	35.9†	20.8	5.7	0.0
						(continued)

Anesthesiology, V 112 • No 5 • May 2010

Practice Guidelines

Table 2. Continued

	Strongly					Strongly
	n*	Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Disagree
Critical care						
For critical care patients, TEE should be used						
When diagnostic information expected to alter	53	83.0†	15.1	1.9	0.0	0.0
management cannot be obtained by TTE or						
other modalities in a timely manner						
During unexplained persistent hypotension	53	67.9†	32.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
When persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs	54	33.3	38.9†	22.2	5.6	0.0
Contraindications						
There are no absolute contraindications to TEE	54	22.2	25.9	1.9†	40.7	9.3
other than prior esophagectomy or						
esophagogastrectomy						
The following conditions should be absolute						
contraindications to TEE						
Esophageal varices	29	10.3	37.9	13.8†	34.5	3.5
Esophageal stricture	29	20.7	55.2†	6.9	17.2	0.0
Barrett esophagus	29	3.5	24.1	17.2	44.8†	10.3
Zenker diverticulum	29	20.7	31.0†	17.2	31.0	0.0
Postradiation therapy	29	3.5	13.8	44.8†	37.9	0.0
Hiatal hernia	29	0.0	3.5	13.8	62.1†	20.7
Previous bariatric surgery	29	0.0	20.7	31.0†	41.4	6.9
Large descending aortic aneurysm	29	6.9	3.5	10.3	65.5†	13.8
Dysphagia	29	6.9	17.2	37.9†	31.0	6.9
Tracheoesophageal fistula	29	20.7	58.6†	17.2	3.5	0.0
Postesophageal surgery	29	13.8	69.0†	13.8	3.5	0.0
Esophageal trauma	29	48.3	34.5†	13.8	3.5	0.0
Unilateral vocal cord paralysis	29	0.0	0.0	20.7	75.9†	3.5
Colonic interposition	29	13.8	48.3†	20.7	13.8	3.5

* n is the number of consultants who responded to each item. All other numbers in the table represent the percentage of consultants who selected the designated response category. † Median response falls within the designated response category.

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft; TEE = transesophageal echocardiography.

Practice Guidelines

Table 3. ASA Members Survey Responses

	n*	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Cardiac and thoracic aortic surgery						
TEE should be used for all cardiac and thoracic	818	45.2	30.7†	6.5	15.8	1.8
aortic surgical patients						
The following responses represent only those ASA						
members who are uncertain or disagree that						
TEE should be used for all cardiac and thoracic						
aortic surgical patients.						
TEE should be used in patients undergoing	104	77.04	00.0	0.5	0.0	0.0
Valve repair Aortic valve replacement	194 194	77.3† 64.9†	22.2 32.0	0.5 2.6	0.0 0.5	0.0 0.0
Mitral valve replacement	193	74.1†	24.9	1.0	0.0	0.0
Other valve replacement	186	61.8†	31.7	5.9	0.5	0.0
CABG surgery with normal ventricular function	191	1.6	10.5	23.0	56.5†	8.4
CABG surgery with abnormal ventricular function	193	14.5	54.4†	22.8	7.8	0.5
Off-pump CABG	193	8.8	27.5	35.8†	24.9	3.1
Redo cardiac surgery	192	15.6	37.0†	33.9	13.0	0.5
Congenital heart surgery with cardiopulmonary	181	43.6	33.2†	23.2	0.0	0.0
bypass						
Congenital heart surgery without cardiopulmonary	182	26.4	29.1†	37.9	6.6	0.0
bypass						
Ascending thoracic aortic surgery	191	51.3†	36.7	7.3	4.2	0.5
Descending thoracic aortic surgery	192	18.2	34.4†	31.8	15.6	0.0
Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy surgery	192	50.0†	35.9	13.0	0.5	0.5
Resection of cardiac mass Ventricular remodeling surgery	193 192	56.5†	35.8 40.6	5.2 8.3	2.1 0.5	0.5 0.5
Open surgery for dysrhythmias	192	50.0† 9.4	40.6 22.4	0.3 43.2†	0.5 24.5	0.5
Endocarditis surgery	182	39.4 39.7	22.4 36.5†	19.6	4.2	0.0
Heart transplant	190	33.7	31.1†	31.6	3.7	0.0
Pericardiectomy	192	12.5	31.3	30.7†	24.0	1.6
Open pericardial surgery	193	8.3	29.0	35.8†	25.9	1.0
Ventricular assist device	189	39.7	33.9†	20.1	5.8	0.5
Endoscopically assisted surgery	185	28.1	26.0†	39.5	6.0	0.6
Cannulae positioning	193	14.5	28.0	39.4†	17.6	0.5
Transcatheter intracardiac procedures						
For patients undergoing transcatheter intracardiac	777	22.3	35.1†	37.5	5.0	0.1
procedures, TEE should be used when general						
anesthesia is provided and intracardiac						
ultrasound is not used						
TEE should be used for the following transcatheter						
intracardiac procedures	776	40 F	04.0+	14.0	1 /	0.0
Septal defect closure Atrial appendage obliteration	776 776	49.5 36.7	34.3† 39.4†	14.8 21.8	1.4 2.1	0.0 0.0
Valve replacement and repair	776	68.8†	23.1	8.1	0.0	0.0
Dysrhythmia treatment	776	7.7	18.8	49.7†	21.1	2.6
Noncardiac surgery	110		10.0	40.11	21.1	2.0
For noncardiac surgical patients, TEE should be used						
When the patient has known or suspected	789	22.2	47.0†	20.7	9.8	0.4
cardiovascular pathology that might result in						
hemodynamic, pulmonary or neurologic						
compromise						
During unexplained persistent hypotension	789	50.1†	43.7	4.9	1.1	0.1
When persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs	786	21.3	42.4†	28.1	8.1	0.1
When life-threatening hypotension is anticipated	791	30.7	37.2†	23.1	8.6	0.4
TEE should be used in noncardiac surgical patients						
undergoing			<u> </u>	C (C)	6 - - -	<i>.</i> .
Open abdominal aortic procedures	786	12.2	29.1	31.0†	25.2	2.4
Endovascular aortic procedures	785	5.4	14.1	32.0†	41.0	7.6
Orthopedic surgery	786	0.1	6.2	26.7	55.5†	11.5
Liver transplantation	779	15.5	30.6	40.8†	11.6	1.5
Neurosurgery in the sitting position	783 784	9.8 5.0	32.4	33.1† 32.0+	22.0	2.7
Percutaneous cardiovascular interventions Lung transplantation	784 780	5.0 23.1	13.4 34.6†	32.9† 36.0	40.4 6.0	8.3 0.3
Major abdominal or thoracic trauma	785	20.5	34.61 37.1†	28.7	12.4	0.3 1.4
major abdominar or thoradio traullia	100	20.0	57.11	20.7	12.7	(continued)
						(Sommueu)

Anesthesiology, V 112 • No 5 • May 2010

Practice Guidelines

Table 3. Continued

	n*	Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Critical care						
For critical care patients, TEE should be used						
When diagnostic information expected to alter	782	52.7†	43.9	3.3	0.0	0.1
management cannot be obtained by TTE or						
other modalities in a timely manner						
During unexplained persistent hypotension	780	41.5	49.2†	6.9	2.2	0.1
When persistent unexplained hypoxemia occurs	781	21.5	42.9†	28.0	7.4	0.1
Contraindications						
There are no absolute contraindications to TEE	788	11.7	33.0	12.6†	32.9	9.9
other than previous esophagectomy or						
esophagogastrectomy						
The following conditions should be absolute						
contraindications to TEE						
Esophageal varices	428	12.4	35.5	18.0†	33.2	0.9
Esophageal stricture	427	16.6	49.7†	15.7	17.3	0.7
Barrett esophagus	428	4.2	18.5	25.7	45.3†	6.3
Zenker diverticulum	429	9.3	33.6	30.5†	25.6	0.9
Postradiation therapy	427	5.2	27.9	42.2†	23.7	1.2
Hiatal hernia	425	0.5	3.8	12.0	67.5†	16.2
Previous bariatric surgery	427	5.6	21.1	33.7†	36.5	3.0
Large descending aortic aneurysm	426	1.4	4.5	25.8	60.6†	7.8
Dysphagia	423	1.4	12.5	31.2	50.4†	4.5
Tracheoesophageal fistula	417	18.0	47.0†	25.7	8.6	0.7
Postesophageal surgery	423	20.8	47.0†	24.6	7.6	0.0
Esophageal trauma	423	35.0	53.7†	10.2	1.2	0.0
Unilateral vocal cord paralysis	425	2.1	5.2	31.5	57.2†	4.0
Colonic interposition	428	11.0	29.7	41.4†	17.1	0.9

* n is the number of American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) members who personally perform transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and responded to each item. All other numbers in the table represent the percentage of ASA members who selected the designated response category. + Median response falls within the designated response category.

CABG = coronary artery bypass graft.

Practice Guidelines