

# Practice Guidelines for Obstetric Anesthesia

## An Updated Report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Obstetric Anesthesia\*

*PRACTICE guidelines* are systematically developed recommendations that assist the practitioner and patient in making decisions about health care. These recommendations may be adopted, modified, or rejected according to clinical needs and constraints and are not intended to replace local institutional policies. In addition, practice guidelines are not intended as standards or absolute requirements, and their use cannot guarantee any specific outcome. Practice guidelines are subject to revision as warranted by the evolution of medical knowledge, technology, and practice. They provide basic recommendations that are supported by a synthesis and analysis of the current literature, expert opinion, open forum commentary, and clinical feasibility data.

This update includes data published since the "Practice Guidelines for Obstetrical Anesthesia" were adopted by the American Society of Anesthesiologists in 1998; it also includes data and recommendations for a wider range of techniques than was previously addressed.

### Methodology

#### A. Definition of Perioperative Obstetric Anesthesia

For the purposes of these Guidelines, *obstetric anesthesia* refers to peripartum anesthetic and analgesic ac-

tivities performed during labor and vaginal delivery, cesarean delivery, removal of retained placenta, and postpartum tubal ligation.

#### B. Purposes of the Guidelines

The purposes of these Guidelines are to enhance the quality of anesthetic care for obstetric patients, improve patient safety by reducing the incidence and severity of anesthesia-related complications, and increase patient satisfaction.

#### C. Focus

These Guidelines focus on the anesthetic management of pregnant patients during labor, nonoperative delivery, operative delivery, and selected aspects of postpartum care and analgesia (*i.e.*, neuraxial opioids for postpartum analgesia after neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery). The intended patient population includes, but is not limited to, intrapartum and postpartum patients with uncomplicated pregnancies or with common obstetric problems. The Guidelines do not apply to patients undergoing surgery during pregnancy, gynecologic patients, or parturients with chronic medical disease (*e.g.*, severe cardiac, renal, or neurologic disease). In addition, these Guidelines do not address (1) postpartum analgesia for vaginal delivery, (2) analgesia after tubal ligation, or (3) postoperative analgesia after general anesthesia (GA) for cesarean delivery.

#### D. Application

These Guidelines are intended for use by anesthesiologists. They also may serve as a resource for other anesthesia providers and healthcare professionals who advise or care for patients who will receive anesthetic care during labor, delivery, and the immediate postpartum period.

#### E. Task Force Members and Consultants

The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) appointed a Task Force of 11 members to (1) review the published evidence, (2) obtain the opinion of a panel of consultants including anesthesiologists and nonanesthesiologist physicians concerned with obstetric anesthesia and analgesia, and (3) obtain opinions from practitioners likely to be affected by the Guidelines. The Task Force included anesthesiologists in both private and academic practices from various geographic areas of the United States and two consulting methodologists from the ASA Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters.

The Task Force developed the Guidelines by means of

This article is featured in "This Month in Anesthesiology." Please see this issue of ANESTHESIOLOGY, page 5A.

Additional material related to this article can be found on the ANESTHESIOLOGY Web site. Go to <http://www.anesthesiology.org>, click on Enhancements Index, and then scroll down to find the appropriate article and link. Supplementary material can also be accessed on the Web by clicking on the "ArticlePlus" link either in the Table of Contents or at the top of the HTML version of the article.

\* Developed by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Obstetric Anesthesia: Joy L. Hawkins, M.D. (Chair), Denver, Colorado; James F. Arens, M.D., Houston, Texas; Brenda A. Bucklin, M.D., Denver, Colorado; Richard T. Connis, Ph.D., Woodinville, Washington; Patricia A. Dailey, M.D., Hillsborough, California; David R. Gambling, M.B.B.S., San Diego, California; David G. Nickinovich, Ph.D., Bellevue, Washington; Linda S. Polley, M.D., Ann Arbor, Michigan; Lawrence C. Tsen, M.D., Boston, Massachusetts; David J. Wlody, M.D., Brooklyn, New York; and Kathryn J. Zuspan, M.D., Stillwater, Minnesota.

Submitted for publication October 31, 2006. Accepted for publication October 31, 2006. Supported by the American Society of Anesthesiologists under the direction of James F. Arens, M.D., Chair, Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters. Approved by the House of Delegates on October 18, 2006. A list of the references used to develop these Guidelines is available by writing to the American Society of Anesthesiologists.

Address reprint requests to the American Society of Anesthesiologists: 520 North Northwest Highway, Park Ridge, Illinois 60068-2573. This Practice Guideline, as well as all published ASA Practice Parameters, may be obtained at no cost through the Journal Web site, [www.anesthesiology.org](http://www.anesthesiology.org).

a seven-step process. First, they reached consensus on the criteria for evidence. Second, original published research studies from peer-reviewed journals relevant to obstetric anesthesia were reviewed. Third, the panel of expert consultants was asked to (1) participate in opinion surveys on the effectiveness of various peripartum management strategies and (2) review and comment on a draft of the Guidelines developed by the Task Force. Fourth, opinions about the Guideline recommendations were solicited from active members of the ASA who provide obstetric anesthesia. Fifth, the Task Force held open forums at two major national meetings† to solicit input on its draft recommendations. Sixth, the consultants were surveyed to assess their opinions on the feasibility of implementing the Guidelines. Seventh, all available information was used to build consensus within the Task Force to finalize the Guidelines (appendix 1).

#### *F. Availability and Strength of Evidence*

Preparation of these Guidelines followed a rigorous methodologic process (appendix 2). To convey the findings in a concise and easy-to-understand fashion, these Guidelines use several descriptive terms. When sufficient numbers of studies are available for evaluation, the following terms describe the strength of the findings.

**Support:** Meta-analysis of a sufficient number of randomized controlled trials‡ indicates a statistically significant relationship ( $P < 0.01$ ) between a clinical intervention and a clinical outcome.

**Suggest:** Information from case reports and observational studies permits inference of a relationship between an intervention and an outcome. A meta-analytic assessment of this type of qualitative or descriptive information is not conducted.

**Equivocal:** Either a meta-analysis has not found significant differences among groups or conditions, or there is insufficient quantitative information to conduct a meta-analysis and information collected from case reports and observational studies does *not* permit inference of a relationship between an intervention and an outcome.

The *lack* of scientific evidence in the literature is described by the following terms.

**Silent:** No identified studies address the specified relationship between an intervention and outcome.

**Insufficient:** There are too few published studies to in-

vestigate a relationship between an intervention and outcome.

**Inadequate:** The available studies cannot be used to assess the relationship between an intervention and an outcome. These studies either do not meet the criteria for content as defined in the Focus section of these Guidelines, or do not permit a clear causal interpretation of findings due to methodologic concerns.

Formal survey information is collected from consultants and members of the ASA. The following terms describe survey responses for any specified issue. Responses are solicited on a five-point scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree), with a score of 3 being equivocal. Survey responses are summarized based on median values as follows:

**Strongly Agree:** Median score of 5 (at least 50% of the responses are 5)

**Agree:** Median score of 4 (at least 50% of the responses are 4 or 4 and 5)

**Equivocal:** Median score of 3 (at least 50% of the responses are 3, or no other response category or combination of similar categories contain at least 50% of the responses)

**Disagree:** Median score of 2 (at least 50% of the responses are 2 or 1 and 2)

**Strongly Disagree:** Median score of 1 (at least 50% of the responses are 1)

## Guidelines

### *I. Perianesthetic Evaluation*

**History and Physical Examination.** Although comparative studies are insufficient to evaluate the peripartum impact of conducting a focused history (*e.g.*, reviewing medical records) or a physical examination, the literature reports certain patient or clinical characteristics that may be associated with obstetric complications. These characteristics include, but are not limited to, preeclampsia, pregnancy-related hypertensive disorders, HELLP syndrome, obesity, and diabetes.

The consultants and ASA members both strongly agree that a directed history and physical examination, as well as communication between anesthetic and obstetric providers, reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications.

**Recommendations.** The anesthesiologist should conduct a focused history and physical examination before providing anesthesia care. This should include, but is not limited to, a maternal health and anesthetic history, a relevant obstetric history, a baseline blood pressure measurement, and an airway, heart, and lung examination, consistent with the ASA "Practice Advisory for Preanesthesia Evaluation."§ When a neuraxial anesthetic is planned or placed, the patient's back should be examined.

† International Anesthesia Research Society, 80th Clinical and Scientific Congress, San Francisco, California, March 25, 2006; and Society of Obstetric Anesthesia and Perinatology 38th Annual Meeting, Hollywood, Florida, April 29, 2006.

‡ A prospective nonrandomized controlled trial may be included in a meta-analysis under certain circumstances if specific statistical criteria are met.

§ American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preanesthesia Evaluation: Practice advisory for preanesthesia evaluation. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 2002; 96:485-96.

Recognition of significant anesthetic or obstetric risk factors should encourage consultation between the obstetrician and the anesthesiologist. A communication system should be in place to encourage early and ongoing contact between obstetric providers, anesthesiologists, and other members of the multidisciplinary team.

**Intrapartum Platelet Count.** The literature is insufficient to assess whether a routine platelet count can predict anesthesia-related complications in uncomplicated parturients. The literature suggests that a platelet count is clinically useful for parturients with suspected pregnancy-related hypertensive disorders, such as preeclampsia or HELLP syndrome, and for other disorders associated with coagulopathy.

The ASA members are equivocal, but the consultants agree that obtaining a routine intrapartum platelet count does *not* reduce maternal anesthetic complications. Both the consultants and ASA members agree that, for patients with suspected preeclampsia, a platelet count reduces maternal anesthetic complications. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that a platelet count reduces maternal anesthetic complications for patients with suspected coagulopathy.

**Recommendations.** A specific platelet count predictive of neuraxial anesthetic complications has not been determined. The anesthesiologist's decision to order or require a platelet count should be individualized and based on a patient's history, physical examination, and clinical signs. A routine platelet count is not necessary in the healthy parturient.

**Blood Type and Screen.** The literature is insufficient to determine whether obtaining a blood type and screen is associated with fewer maternal anesthetic complications. In addition, the literature is insufficient to determine whether a blood cross-match is necessary for healthy and uncomplicated parturients. The consultants and ASA members agree that an intrapartum blood sample should be sent to the blood bank for all parturients.

**Recommendations.** A routine blood cross-match is not necessary for healthy and uncomplicated parturients for vaginal or operative delivery. The decision whether to order or require a blood type and screen, or cross-match, should be based on maternal history, anticipated hemorrhagic complications (*e.g.*, placenta accreta in a patient with placenta previa and previous uterine surgery), and local institutional policies.

**Perianesthetic Recording of the Fetal Heart Rate.** The literature suggests that anesthetic and analgesic agents may influence the fetal heart rate pattern. There is insufficient literature to demonstrate that perianesthetic recording of the fetal heart rate prevents fetal or neonatal complications. Both the consultants and ASA mem-

bers agree, however, that perianesthetic recording of the fetal heart rate reduces fetal and neonatal complications.

**Recommendations.** The fetal heart rate should be monitored by a qualified individual before and after administration of neuraxial analgesia for labor. The Task Force recognizes that *continuous* electronic recording of the fetal heart rate may not be necessary in every clinical setting and may not be possible during initiation of neuraxial anesthesia.

## II. Aspiration Prevention

**Clear Liquids.** There is insufficient published evidence to draw conclusions about the relationship between fasting times for clear liquids and the risk of emesis/reflux or pulmonary aspiration during labor. The consultants and ASA members both agree that oral intake of clear liquids during labor improves maternal comfort and satisfaction. Although the ASA members are equivocal, the consultants agree that oral intake of clear liquids during labor *does not* increase maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** The oral intake of modest amounts of clear liquids may be allowed for uncomplicated laboring patients. The uncomplicated patient undergoing elective cesarean delivery may have modest amounts of clear liquids up to 2 h before induction of anesthesia. Examples of clear liquids include, but are not limited to, water, fruit juices without pulp, carbonated beverages, clear tea, black coffee, and sports drinks. The volume of liquid ingested is less important than the presence of particulate matter in the liquid ingested. However, patients with additional risk factors for aspiration (*e.g.*, morbid obesity, diabetes, difficult airway) or patients at increased risk for operative delivery (*e.g.*, nonreassuring fetal heart rate pattern) may have further restrictions of oral intake, determined on a case-by-case basis.

**Solids.** A specific fasting time for solids that is predictive of maternal anesthetic complications has not been determined. There is insufficient published evidence to address the safety of *any* particular fasting period for solids in obstetric patients. The consultants and ASA members both agree that the oral intake of solids during labor increases maternal complications. They both strongly agree that patients undergoing either elective cesarean delivery or postpartum tubal ligation should undergo a fasting period of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested (*e.g.*, fat content). The Task Force recognizes that in laboring patients the timing of delivery is uncertain; therefore, compliance with a predetermined fasting period before nonelective surgical procedures is not always possible.

**Recommendations.** Solid foods should be avoided in laboring patients. The patient undergoing elective surgery (*e.g.*, scheduled cesarean delivery or postpartum tubal ligation) should undergo a fasting period for solids

|| American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Preoperative Fasting: Practice guidelines for preoperative fasting and the use of pharmacologic agents to reduce the risk of pulmonary aspiration. *ANESTHESIOLOGY* 1999; 90:896–905.

of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested (e.g., fat content).||

**Antacids, H<sub>2</sub> Receptor Antagonists, and Metoclopramide.** The literature does not sufficiently examine the relationship between reduced gastric acidity and the frequency of emesis, pulmonary aspiration, morbidity, or mortality in obstetric patients who have aspirated gastric contents. Published evidence supports the efficacy of preoperative nonparticulate antacids (e.g., sodium citrate, sodium bicarbonate) in decreasing gastric acidity during the peripartum period. However, the literature is insufficient to examine the impact of nonparticulate antacids on gastric volume. The literature suggests that H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists are effective in decreasing gastric acidity in obstetric patients and supports the efficacy of metoclopramide in reducing peripartum nausea and vomiting. The consultants and ASA members agree that the administration of a nonparticulate antacid before operative procedures reduces maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** Before surgical procedures (i.e., cesarean delivery, postpartum tubal ligation), practitioners should consider the timely administration of nonparticulate antacids, H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists, and/or metoclopramide for aspiration prophylaxis.

### III. Anesthetic Care for Labor and Vaginal Delivery

**Overview.** Not all women require anesthetic care during labor or delivery. For women who request pain relief for labor and/or delivery, there are many effective analgesic techniques available. Maternal request represents sufficient justification for pain relief. In addition, maternal medical and obstetric conditions may warrant the provision of neuraxial techniques to improve maternal and neonatal outcome.

The choice of analgesic technique depends on the medical status of the patient, progress of labor, and resources at the facility. When sufficient resources (e.g., anesthesia and nursing staff) are available, neuraxial catheter techniques should be one of the analgesic options offered. The choice of a specific neuraxial block should be individualized and based on anesthetic risk factors, obstetric risk factors, patient preferences, progress of labor, and resources at the facility.

When neuraxial catheter techniques are used for analgesia during labor or vaginal delivery, the primary goal is to provide adequate maternal analgesia with minimal motor block (e.g., achieved with the administration of local anesthetics at low concentrations with or without opioids).

When a neuraxial technique is chosen, appropriate resources for the treatment of complications (e.g., hypotension, systemic toxicity, high spinal anesthesia) should be available. If an opioid is added, treatments for related complications (e.g., pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression) should be available. An intravenous infusion should be established before the initiation of neuraxial analgesia

or anesthesia and maintained throughout the duration of the neuraxial analgesic or anesthetic. However, administration of a fixed volume of intravenous fluid is not required before neuraxial analgesia is initiated.

**Timing of Neuraxial Analgesia and Outcome of Labor.** Meta-analysis of the literature determined that the timing of neuraxial analgesia does not affect the frequency of cesarean delivery. The literature also suggests that other delivery outcomes (i.e., spontaneous or instrumented) are also unaffected. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that early initiation of epidural analgesia (i.e., at cervical dilations of less than 5 cm *vs.* equal to or greater than 5 cm) improves analgesia. They both *disagree* that motor block or maternal, fetal, or neonatal side effects are increased by early administration.

**Recommendations.** Patients in early labor (i.e., < 5 cm dilation) should be given the option of neuraxial analgesia when this service is available. Neuraxial analgesia should not be withheld on the basis of achieving an arbitrary cervical dilation, and should be offered on an individualized basis. Patients may be reassured that the use of neuraxial analgesia does not increase the incidence of cesarean delivery.

**Neuraxial Analgesia and Trial of Labor after Previous Cesarean Delivery.** Nonrandomized comparative studies suggest that epidural analgesia may be used in a trial of labor for previous cesarean delivery patients without adversely affecting the incidence of vaginal delivery. Randomized comparisons of epidural *versus* other anesthetic techniques were not found. The consultants and ASA members agree that neuraxial techniques improve the likelihood of vaginal delivery for patients attempting vaginal birth after cesarean delivery.

**Recommendations.** Neuraxial techniques should be offered to patients attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery. For these patients, it is also appropriate to consider early placement of a neuraxial catheter that can be used later for labor analgesia, or for anesthesia in the event of operative delivery.

**Early Insertion of a Spinal or Epidural Catheter for Complicated Parturients.** The literature is insufficient to assess whether, when caring for the complicated parturient, the early insertion of a spinal or epidural catheter, with later administration of analgesia, improves maternal or neonatal outcomes. The consultants and ASA members agree that early insertion of a spinal or epidural catheter for complicated parturients reduces maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** Early insertion of a spinal or epidural catheter for obstetric (e.g., twin gestation or pre-eclampsia) or anesthetic indications (e.g., anticipated difficult airway or obesity) should be considered to reduce the need for GA if an emergent procedure becomes necessary. In these cases, the insertion of a spinal or

epidural catheter may precede the onset of labor or a patient's request for labor analgesia.

#### **Continuous Infusion Epidural Analgesia.**

*CIE Compared with Parenteral Opioids.* The literature suggests that the use of continuous infusion epidural (CIE) local anesthetics with or without opioids provides greater quality of analgesia compared with parenteral (*i.e.*, intravenous or intramuscular) opioids. The consultants and ASA members strongly agree that CIE local anesthetics with or without opioids provide improved analgesia compared with parenteral opioids.

Meta-analysis of the literature indicates that there is a longer duration of labor, with an average duration of 24 min for the second stage, and a lower frequency of spontaneous vaginal delivery when continuous epidural local anesthetics are administered compared with *intravenous* opioids. Meta-analysis of the literature determined that there are no differences in the frequency of cesarean delivery. Neither the consultants nor ASA members agree that CIE local anesthetics compared with parenteral opioids significantly (1) increase the duration of labor, (2) decrease the chance of spontaneous delivery, (3) increase maternal side effects, or (4) increase fetal and neonatal side effects.

*CIE Compared with Single-injection Spinal.* There is insufficient literature to assess the analgesic efficacy of CIE local anesthetics with or without opioids compared to *single-injection spinal opioids* with or without local anesthetics. The consultants are equivocal, but the ASA members agree that CIE local anesthetics improve analgesia compared with single-injection spinal opioids; both the consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding the frequency of motor block. The consultants are equivocal, but the ASA members disagree that the use of CIE compared with single-injection spinal opioids increases the duration of labor. They both *disagree* that CIE local anesthetics with or without opioids compared to single-injection spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics decreases the likelihood of spontaneous delivery or increases maternal, fetal, or neonatal side effects.

*CIE with and without Opioids.* The literature supports the *induction* of analgesia using epidural local anesthetics combined *with opioids* compared with equal concentrations of epidural local anesthetics *without opioids* for improved quality and longer duration of analgesia. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that the addition of opioids to epidural local anesthetics improves analgesia; they both disagree that fetal or neonatal side effects are increased. The consultants disagree, but the ASA members are equivocal regarding

whether the addition of opioids increases maternal side effects.

The literature is insufficient to determine whether induction of analgesia using local anesthetics with opioids compared with *higher concentrations* of epidural local anesthetics without opioids provides improved quality or duration of analgesia. The consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding improved analgesia, and they both disagree that maternal, fetal, or neonatal side effects are increased using lower concentrations of epidural local anesthetics with opioids.

For *maintenance of analgesia*, the literature suggests that there are no differences in the analgesic efficacy of *low concentrations* of epidural local anesthetics with opioids compared with *higher concentrations* of epidural local anesthetics without opioids. The Task Force notes that the addition of an opioid to a local anesthetic infusion allows an even lower concentration of local anesthetic for providing equally effective analgesia. However, the literature is insufficient to examine whether a bupivacaine infusion concentration of *less than or equal to 0.125%* with an opioid provides comparable or improved analgesia compared with a bupivacaine concentration *greater than 0.125%* without an opioid.<sup>#</sup> Meta-analysis of the literature determined that low concentrations of epidural local anesthetics with opioids compared with higher concentrations of epidural local anesthetics without opioids are associated with reduced motor block. No differences in the duration of labor, mode of delivery, or neonatal outcomes are found when epidural local anesthetics with opioids are compared with epidural local anesthetics without opioids. The literature is insufficient to determine the effects of epidural local anesthetics with opioids on other maternal outcomes (*e.g.*, hypotension, nausea, pruritus, respiratory depression, urinary retention).

The consultants and ASA members both agree that maintenance of epidural analgesia using *low* concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids provides improved analgesia compared with *higher* concentrations of local anesthetics without opioids. The consultants agree, but the ASA members are equivocal regarding the improved likelihood of spontaneous delivery when lower concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids are used. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that motor block is reduced. They agree that maternal side effects are reduced with this drug combination. They are both equivocal regarding a reduction in fetal and neonatal side effects.

*Recommendations.* The selected analgesic/anesthetic technique should reflect patient needs and preferences, practitioner preferences or skills, and available resources. The continuous epidural infusion technique may be used for effective analgesia for labor and delivery. When a continuous epidural infusion of local anesthetic is selected, an opioid may be added to reduce the

<sup>#</sup> References to bupivacaine are included for illustrative purposes only, and because bupivacaine is the most extensively studied local anesthetic for continuous infusion epidural analgesia. The Task Force recognizes that other local anesthetics are appropriate for continuous infusion epidural analgesia.

concentration of local anesthetic, improve the quality of analgesia, and minimize motor block.

Adequate analgesia for uncomplicated labor and delivery should be administered with the secondary goal of producing as little motor block as possible by using dilute concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids. The lowest concentration of local anesthetic infusion that provides adequate maternal analgesia and satisfaction should be administered. For example, an infusion concentration greater than 0.125% bupivacaine is unnecessary for labor analgesia in most patients.

**Single-injection Spinal Opioids with or without Local Anesthetics.** The literature suggests that spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics provide effective analgesia during labor without altering the incidence of neonatal complications. There is insufficient literature to compare spinal opioids with parenteral opioids. There is also insufficient literature to compare single-injection spinal opioids *with* local anesthetics *versus* single-injection spinal opioids *without* local anesthetics.

The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that spinal opioids provide improved analgesia compared with parenteral opioids. They both disagree that, compared with parenteral opioids, spinal opioids increase the duration of labor, decrease the chance of spontaneous delivery, or increase fetal and neonatal side effects. The consultants are equivocal, but the ASA members disagree that maternal side effects are increased with spinal opioids.

Compared with spinal opioids *without* local anesthetics, the consultants and ASA members both agree that spinal opioids *with* local anesthetics provide improved analgesia. They both disagree that the chance of spontaneous delivery is decreased and that fetal and neonatal side effects are increased. They are both equivocal regarding an increase in maternal side effects. However, they both agree that motor block is increased when local anesthetics are added to spinal opioids. Finally, the consultants disagree, but the ASA members are equivocal regarding an increase in the duration of labor.

**Recommendations.** Single-injection spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics may be used to provide effective, although time-limited, analgesia for labor when spontaneous vaginal delivery is anticipated. If labor is expected to last longer than the analgesic effects of the spinal drugs chosen or if there is a good possibility of operative delivery, a catheter technique instead of a single injection technique should be considered. A local anesthetic may be added to a spinal opioid to increase duration and improve quality of analgesia. The Task Force notes that the rapid onset of analgesia provided by single-injection spinal techniques may be advantageous for selected patients (*e.g.*, those in advanced labor).

**Pencil-point Spinal Needles.** The literature supports the use of pencil-point spinal needles compared with cutting-bevel spinal needles to reduce the frequency of

post-dural puncture headache. The consultants and ASA members both strongly agree that the use of pencil-point spinal needles reduces maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** Pencil-point spinal needles should be used instead of cutting-bevel spinal needles to minimize the risk of post-dural puncture headache.

**Combined Spinal-Epidural Analgesia.** The literature supports a faster onset time and equivalent analgesia with combined spinal-epidural (CSE) local anesthetics with opioids *versus* epidural local anesthetics with opioids. The literature is equivocal regarding the impact of CSE *versus* epidural local anesthetics with opioids on maternal satisfaction with analgesia, mode of delivery, hypotension, motor block, nausea, fetal heart rate changes, and Apgar scores. Meta-analysis of the literature indicates that the frequency of pruritus is increased with CSE.

The consultants and ASA members both agree that CSE local anesthetics with opioids provide improved early analgesia compared with epidural local anesthetics with opioids. They are equivocal regarding the impact of CSE with opioids on overall analgesic efficacy, duration of labor, and motor block. The consultants and ASA members both disagree that CSE increases the risk of fetal or neonatal side effects. The consultants disagree, but the ASA members are equivocal regarding whether CSE increases the incidence of maternal side effects.

**Recommendations.** Combined spinal-epidural techniques may be used to provide effective and rapid onset of analgesia for labor.

**Patient-controlled Epidural Analgesia.** The literature supports the efficacy of patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA) *versus* CIE in providing equivalent analgesia with reduced drug consumption. Meta-analysis of the literature indicates that the duration of labor is longer with PCEA compared with CIE for the first stage (*e.g.*, an average of 36 min) but not the second stage of labor. Meta-analysis of the literature also determined that mode of delivery, frequency of motor block, and Apgar scores are equivalent when PCEA administration is compared with CIE. The literature supports greater analgesic efficacy for PCEA with a background infusion compared with PCEA without a background infusion; meta-analysis of the literature also indicates no differences in the mode of delivery or frequency of motor block. The consultants and ASA members agree that PCEA compared with CIE improves analgesia and reduces the need for anesthetic interventions; they also agree that PCEA improves maternal satisfaction. The consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding a reduction in motor block, an increased likelihood of spontaneous delivery, or a decrease in maternal side effects with PCEA compared with CIE. They both agree that PCEA with a background infusion improves analgesia, improves maternal satisfaction, and reduces the need for anesthetic intervention. The ASA members are equivocal, but the consultants disagree that a background infusion decreases the chance of sponta-

neous delivery or increases maternal side effects. The consultants and ASA members are equivocal regarding the effect of a background infusion on the incidence of motor block.

**Recommendations.** Patient-controlled epidural analgesia may be used to provide an effective and flexible approach for the maintenance of labor analgesia. The Task Force notes that the use of PCEA may be preferable to fixed-rate CIE for providing fewer anesthetic interventions and reduced dosages of local anesthetics. PCEA may be used with or without a background infusion.

#### IV. Removal of Retained Placenta

**Anesthetic Techniques.** The literature is insufficient to assess whether a particular type of anesthetic is more effective than another for removal of retained placenta. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that, if a functioning epidural catheter is in place and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is the preferred technique for the removal of retained placenta. The consultants and ASA members both agree that, in cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, GA is preferred over neuraxial anesthesia.

**Recommendations.** The Task Force notes that, in general, there is no preferred anesthetic technique for removal of retained placenta. However, if an epidural catheter is in place and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is preferable. Hemodynamic status should be assessed before administering neuraxial anesthesia. Aspiration prophylaxis should be considered. Sedation/analgesia should be titrated carefully due to the potential risks of respiratory depression and pulmonary aspiration during the immediate postpartum period. In cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, GA with an endotracheal tube may be preferable to neuraxial anesthesia.

**Uterine Relaxation.** The literature suggests that nitroglycerin is effective for uterine relaxation during the removal of retained placenta. The consultants and ASA members both agree that the administration of nitroglycerin for uterine relaxation improves success in removing a retained placenta.

**Recommendations.** Nitroglycerin may be used as an alternative to terbutaline sulfate or general endotracheal anesthesia with halogenated agents for uterine relaxation during removal of retained placental tissue. Initiating treatment with incremental doses of intravenous or sublingual (*i.e.*, metered dose spray) nitroglycerin may relax the uterus sufficiently while minimizing potential complications (*e.g.*, hypotension).

#### V. Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery

##### Equipment, Facilities, and Support Personnel.

The literature is insufficient to evaluate the benefit of providing equipment, facilities and support personnel in the labor and delivery operating suite comparable to that

available in the main operating suite. The consultants and ASA members strongly agree that the available equipment, facilities, and support personnel should be comparable.

**Recommendations.** Equipment, facilities, and support personnel available in the labor and delivery operating suite should be comparable to those available in the main operating suite. Resources for the treatment of potential complications (*e.g.*, failed intubation, inadequate analgesia, hypotension, respiratory depression, pruritus, vomiting) should also be available in the labor and delivery operating suite. Appropriate equipment and personnel should be available to care for obstetric patients recovering from major neuraxial anesthesia or GA.

##### General, Epidural, Spinal, or Combined Spinal-Epidural Anesthesia.

The literature suggests that induction-to-delivery times for GA are lower compared with epidural or spinal anesthesia and that a higher frequency of maternal hypotension may be associated with epidural or spinal techniques. Meta-analysis of the literature found that Apgar scores at 1 and 5 min are lower for GA compared with epidural anesthesia and suggests that Apgar scores are lower for GA *versus* spinal anesthesia. The literature is equivocal regarding differences in umbilical artery pH values when GA is compared with epidural or spinal anesthesia.

The consultants and ASA members agree that GA reduces the time to skin incision when compared with either epidural or spinal anesthesia; they also agree that GA increases maternal complications. The consultants are equivocal and the ASA members agree that GA increases fetal and neonatal complications. The consultants and ASA members both agree that epidural anesthesia increases the time to skin incision and decreases the quality of anesthesia compared with spinal anesthesia. They both disagree that epidural anesthesia increases maternal complications.

When spinal anesthesia is compared with epidural anesthesia, meta-analysis of the literature found that induction-to-delivery times are shorter for spinal anesthesia. The literature is equivocal regarding hypotension, umbilical pH values, and Apgar scores. The consultants and ASA members agree that epidural anesthesia increases time to skin incision and reduces the quality of anesthesia when compared with spinal anesthesia. They both disagree that epidural anesthesia increases maternal complications.

When CSE is compared with epidural anesthesia, meta-analysis of the literature found no differences in the frequency of hypotension or in 1-min Apgar scores; the literature is insufficient to evaluate outcomes associated with the use of CSE compared with spinal anesthesia. The consultants and ASA members agree that CSE anesthesia improves anesthesia and reduces time to skin incision when compared with *epidural* anesthesia. The

ASA members are equivocal, but the consultants disagree that maternal side effects are reduced. The consultants and ASA members both disagree that CSE improves anesthesia compared with *spinal* anesthesia. The ASA members are equivocal, but the consultants disagree that maternal side effects are reduced. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that CSE compared with spinal anesthesia increases flexibility of prolonged procedures, and they both agree that the time to skin incision is increased.

**Recommendations.** The decision to use a particular anesthetic technique for cesarean delivery should be individualized, based on several factors. These include anesthetic, obstetric, or fetal risk factors (*e.g.*, elective *vs.* emergency), the preferences of the patient, and the judgment of the anesthesiologist. Neuraxial techniques are preferred to GA for most cesarean deliveries. An indwelling epidural catheter may provide equivalent onset of anesthesia compared with initiation of spinal anesthesia for urgent cesarean delivery. If spinal anesthesia is chosen, pencil-point spinal needles should be used instead of cutting-bevel spinal needles. However, GA may be the most appropriate choice in some circumstances (*e.g.*, profound fetal bradycardia, ruptured uterus, severe hemorrhage, severe placental abruption). Uterine displacement (usually left displacement) should be maintained until delivery regardless of the anesthetic technique used.

**Intravenous Fluid Preloading.** The literature supports and the consultants and ASA members agree that intravenous fluid preloading for spinal anesthesia reduces the frequency of maternal hypotension when compared with no fluid preloading.

**Recommendations.** Intravenous fluid preloading may be used to reduce the frequency of maternal hypotension after spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery. Although fluid preloading reduces the frequency of maternal hypotension, initiation of spinal anesthesia should not be delayed to administer a fixed volume of intravenous fluid.

**Ephedrine or Phenylephrine.** The literature supports the administration of ephedrine and suggests that phenylephrine is effective in reducing maternal hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery. The literature is equivocal regarding the relative frequency of patients with breakthrough hypotension when infusions of ephedrine are compared with phenylephrine; however, lower umbilical cord pH values are reported after ephedrine administration. The consultants agree and the ASA members strongly agree that ephedrine is acceptable for treating hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that phenylephrine is an acceptable agent for the treatment of hypotension.

**Recommendations.** Intravenous ephedrine and phenylephrine are both acceptable drugs for treating hypo-

tension during neuraxial anesthesia. In the absence of maternal bradycardia, phenylephrine may be preferable because of improved fetal acid–base status in uncomplicated pregnancies.

**Neuraxial Opioids for Postoperative Analgesia.** For improved postoperative analgesia after cesarean delivery during epidural anesthesia, the literature supports the use of epidural opioids compared with intermittent injections of intravenous or intramuscular opioids. However, a higher frequency of pruritus was found with epidural opioids. The literature is insufficient to evaluate the impact of epidural opioids compared with intravenous PCA. In addition, the literature is insufficient to evaluate spinal opioids compared with parenteral opioids. The consultants strongly agree and the ASA members agree that neuraxial opioids for postoperative analgesia improve analgesia and maternal satisfaction.

**Recommendations.** For postoperative analgesia after neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery, neuraxial opioids are preferred over intermittent injections of parenteral opioids.

#### VI. Postpartum Tubal Ligation

There is insufficient literature to evaluate the benefits of neuraxial anesthesia compared with GA for postpartum tubal ligation. In addition, the literature is insufficient to evaluate the impact of the timing of a postpartum tubal ligation on maternal outcome. The consultants and ASA members both agree that neuraxial anesthesia for postpartum tubal ligation reduces complications compared with GA. The ASA members are equivocal but the consultants agree that a postpartum tubal ligation within 8 h of delivery *does not* increase maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** For postpartum tubal ligation, the patient should have no oral intake of solid foods within 6–8 h of the surgery, depending on the type of food ingested (*e.g.*, fat content).|| Aspiration prophylaxis should be considered. Both the timing of the procedure and the decision to use a particular anesthetic technique (*i.e.*, neuraxial *vs.* general) should be individualized, based on anesthetic risk factors, obstetric risk factors (*e.g.*, blood loss), and patient preferences. However, neuraxial techniques are preferred to GA for most postpartum tubal ligations. The anesthesiologist should be aware that gastric emptying will be delayed in patients who have received opioids during labor, and that an epidural catheter placed for labor may be more likely to fail with longer postdelivery time intervals. If a postpartum tubal ligation is to be performed before the patient is discharged from the hospital, the procedure should not be attempted at a time when it might compromise other aspects of patient care on the labor and delivery unit.

**Table 1. Suggested Resources for Obstetric Hemorrhagic Emergencies**

- Large-bore intravenous catheters
- Fluid warmer
- Forced-air body warmer
- Availability of blood bank resources
- Equipment for infusing intravenous fluids and blood products rapidly. Examples include, but are not limited to, hand-squeezed fluid chambers, hand-inflated pressure bags, and automatic infusion devices

The items listed represent suggestions. The items should be customized to meet the specific needs, preferences, and skills of the practitioner and health-care facility.

### VII. Management of Obstetric and Anesthetic Emergencies

**Resources for Management of Hemorrhagic Emergencies.** Observational studies and case reports suggest that the availability of resources for hemorrhagic emergencies may be associated with reduced maternal complications. The consultants and ASA members both strongly agree that the availability of resources for managing hemorrhagic emergencies reduces maternal complications.

**Recommendations.** Institutions providing obstetric care should have resources available to manage hemorrhagic emergencies (table 1). In an emergency, the use of type-specific or O negative blood is acceptable. In cases of intractable hemorrhage when banked blood is not available or the patient refuses banked blood, intraoperative cell-salvage should be considered if available.

**Central Invasive Hemodynamic Monitoring.** There is insufficient literature to examine whether pulmonary artery catheterization is associated with improved maternal, fetal, or neonatal outcomes in patients with pregnancy-related hypertensive disorders. The literature is silent regarding the management of obstetric patients with central venous catheterization alone. The consultants and ASA members agree that the routine use of central venous or pulmonary artery catheterization does not reduce maternal complications in severely preeclamptic patients.

**Recommendations.** The decision to perform invasive hemodynamic monitoring should be individualized and based on clinical indications that include the patient's medical history and cardiovascular risk factors. The Task Force recognizes that not all practitioners have access to resources for use of central venous or pulmonary artery catheters in obstetric units.

**Equipment for Management of Airway Emergencies.** Case reports suggest that the availability of equipment for the management of airway emergencies may be associated with reduced maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications. The consultants and ASA members both

**Table 2. Suggested Resources for Airway Management during Initial Provision of Neuraxial Anesthesia**

- Laryngoscope and assorted blades
- Endotracheal tubes, with stylets
- Oxygen source
- Suction source with tubing and catheters
- Self-inflating bag and mask for positive-pressure ventilation
- Medications for blood pressure support, muscle relaxation, and hypnosis
- Qualitative carbon dioxide detector
- Pulse oximeter

The items listed represent suggestions. The items should be customized to meet the specific needs, preferences, and skills of the practitioner and health-care facility.

strongly agree that the immediate availability of equipment for the management of airway emergencies reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications.

**Recommendations.** Labor and delivery units should have personnel and equipment readily available to manage airway emergencies, to include a pulse oximeter and qualitative carbon dioxide detector, consistent with the ASA Practice Guidelines for Management of the Difficult Airway.\*\* Basic airway management equipment should be immediately available during the provision of neuraxial analgesia (table 2). In addition, portable equipment for difficult airway management should be readily available in the operative area of labor and delivery units (table 3). The anesthesiologist should have a preformulated strategy for intubation of the difficult airway. When tracheal intubation has failed, ventilation with mask and cricoid pressure, or with a laryngeal mask airway or supraglottic airway device (e.g., Combitube<sup>®</sup>, Intubating

**Table 3. Suggested Contents of a Portable Storage Unit for Difficult Airway Management for Cesarean Delivery Rooms**

- Rigid laryngoscope blades of alternate design and size from those routinely used
- Laryngeal mask airway
- Endotracheal tubes of assorted size
- Endotracheal tube guides. Examples include, but are not limited to, semirigid stylets with or without a hollow core for jet ventilation, light wands, and forceps designed to manipulate the distal portion of the endotracheal tube
- Retrograde intubation equipment
- At least one device suitable for emergency nonsurgical airway ventilation. Examples include, but are not limited to, a hollow jet ventilation stylet with a transtracheal jet ventilator, and a supraglottic airway device (e.g., Combitube<sup>®</sup>, Intubating LMA [Fastrach<sup>™</sup>])
- Fiberoptic intubation equipment
- Equipment suitable for emergency surgical airway access (e.g., cricothyrotomy)
- An exhaled carbon dioxide detector
- Topical anesthetics and vasoconstrictors

The items listed represent suggestions. The items should be customized to meet the specific needs, preferences, and skills of the practitioner and health-care facility.

Adapted from Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: An updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2003; 98:1269–77.

\*\* American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway: Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: An updated report. ANESTHESIOLOGY 2003; 98:1269–77.

LMA [*Fastrach*<sup>TM</sup>]) should be considered for maintaining an airway and ventilating the lungs. If it is not possible to ventilate or awaken the patient, an airway should be created surgically.

**Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation.** The literature is insufficient to evaluate the efficacy of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in the obstetric patient during labor and delivery. In cases of cardiac arrest, the American Heart Association has stated that 4–5 min is the maximum time rescuers will have to determine whether the arrest can be reversed by Basic Life Support and Advanced Cardiac Life Support interventions.<sup>††</sup> Delivery of the fetus may improve cardiopulmonary resuscitation of the mother by relieving aortocaval compression. The American Heart Association further notes that “the best survival rate for infants >24 to 25 weeks in gestation occurs when the delivery of the infant occurs no more than 5 min after the mother’s heart stops beating. This typically requires that the provider begin the hysterotomy about 4 min after cardiac arrest.”<sup>††</sup> The consultants and ASA members both strongly agree that the immediate availability of basic and advanced life-support equipment in the labor and delivery suite reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications.

**Recommendations.** Basic and advanced life-support equipment should be immediately available in the operative area of labor and delivery units. If cardiac arrest occurs during labor and delivery, standard resuscitative measures should be initiated. In addition, uterine displacement (usually left displacement) should be maintained. If maternal circulation is not restored within 4 min, cesarean delivery should be performed by the obstetrics team.

## Appendix 1: Summary of Recommendations

### I. Perianesthetic Evaluation

- Conduct a focused history and physical examination before providing anesthesia care
  - Maternal health and anesthetic history
  - Relevant obstetric history
  - Airway and heart and lung examination
  - Baseline blood pressure measurement
  - Back examination when neuraxial anesthesia is planned or placed
- A communication system should be in place to encourage early and ongoing contact between obstetric providers, anesthesiologists, and other members of the multidisciplinary team
- Order or require a platelet count based on a patient’s history, physical examination, and clinical signs; a routine intrapartum platelet count is not necessary in the healthy parturient
- Order or require an intrapartum blood type and screen or cross-match based on maternal history, anticipated hemorrhagic complications (e.g., placenta accreta in a patient with placenta previa and

previous uterine surgery), and local institutional policies; a routine blood cross-match is not necessary for *healthy and uncomplicated* parturients

- The fetal heart rate should be monitored by a qualified individual before and after administration of neuraxial analgesia for labor; *continuous* electronic recording of the fetal heart rate may not be necessary in every clinical setting and may not be possible during initiation of neuraxial anesthesia

### II. Aspiration Prophylaxis

- Oral intake of modest amounts of clear liquids may be allowed for uncomplicated laboring patients
- The uncomplicated patient undergoing elective cesarean delivery may have modest amounts of clear liquids up to 2 h before induction of anesthesia
- The volume of liquid ingested is less important than the presence of particulate matter in the liquid ingested
- Patients with additional risk factors for aspiration (e.g., morbid obesity, diabetes, difficult airway) or patients at increased risk for operative delivery (e.g., nonreassuring fetal heart rate pattern) may have further restrictions of oral intake, determined on a case-by-case basis
- Solid foods should be avoided in laboring patients
- Patients undergoing elective surgery (e.g., scheduled cesarean delivery or postpartum tubal ligation) should undergo a fasting period for solids of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested (e.g., fat content)
- Before surgical procedures (i.e., cesarean delivery, postpartum tubal ligation), practitioners should consider timely administration of nonparticulate antacids, H<sub>2</sub> receptor antagonists, and/or metoclopramide for aspiration prophylaxis

### III. Anesthetic Care for Labor and Delivery

#### Neuraxial Techniques: Availability of Resources.

- When neuraxial techniques that include local anesthetics are chosen, appropriate resources for the treatment of complications (e.g., hypotension, systemic toxicity, high spinal anesthesia) should be available
- If an opioid is added, treatments for related complications (e.g., pruritus, nausea, respiratory depression) should be available
- An intravenous infusion should be established before the initiation of neuraxial analgesia or anesthesia and maintained throughout the duration of the neuraxial analgesic or anesthetic
- Administration of a fixed volume of intravenous fluid is not required before neuraxial analgesia is initiated

#### Timing of Neuraxial Analgesia and Outcome of Labor.

- Neuraxial analgesia should not be withheld on the basis of achieving an arbitrary cervical dilation, and should be offered on an individualized basis when this service is available
- Patients may be reassured that the use of neuraxial analgesia does not increase the incidence of cesarean delivery

#### Neuraxial Analgesia and Trial of Labor after Previous Cesarean Delivery.

- Neuraxial techniques should be offered to patients attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery
- For these patients, it is also appropriate to consider early placement of a neuraxial catheter that can be used later for labor analgesia or for anesthesia in the event of operative delivery

#### Early Insertion of Spinal or Epidural Catheter for Complicated Parturients.

- Early insertion of a spinal or epidural catheter for obstetric (e.g., twin gestation or preeclampsia) or anesthetic indications (e.g., anticipated

<sup>††</sup> 2005 American Heart Association guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation and emergency cardiovascular care. *Circulation* 2005; 112(suppl):IV1–203.

difficult airway or obesity) should be considered to reduce the need for general anesthesia if an emergent procedure becomes necessary

- In these cases, the insertion of a spinal or epidural catheter may precede the onset of labor or a patient's request for labor analgesia

#### **Continuous Infusion Epidural (CIE) Analgesia.**

- The selected analgesic/anesthetic technique should reflect patient needs and preferences, practitioner preferences or skills, and available resources
- CIE may be used for effective analgesia for labor and delivery
- When a continuous epidural infusion of local anesthetic is selected, an opioid may be added to reduce the concentration of local anesthetic, improve the quality of analgesia, and minimize motor block
- Adequate analgesia for uncomplicated labor and delivery should be administered with the secondary goal of producing as little motor block as possible by using dilute concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids
- The lowest concentration of local anesthetic infusion that provides adequate maternal analgesia and satisfaction should be administered

#### **Single-injection Spinal Opioids with or without Local Anesthetics.**

- Single-injection spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics may be used to provide effective, although time-limited, analgesia for labor when spontaneous vaginal delivery is anticipated
- If labor is expected to last longer than the analgesic effects of the spinal drugs chosen or if there is a good possibility of operative delivery, a catheter technique instead of a single injection technique should be considered
- A local anesthetic may be added to a spinal opioid to increase duration and improve quality of analgesia

#### **Pencil-point Spinal Needles.**

- Pencil-point spinal needles should be used instead of cutting-bevel spinal needles to minimize the risk of post-dural puncture headache

#### **Combined Spinal-Epidural (CSE) Anesthetics.**

- CSE techniques may be used to provide effective and rapid analgesia for labor

#### **Patient-controlled Epidural Analgesia (PCEA).**

- PCEA may be used to provide an effective and flexible approach for the maintenance of labor analgesia
- PCEA may be preferable to CIE for providing fewer anesthetic interventions, reduced dosages of local anesthetics, and less motor blockade than fixed-rate continuous epidural infusions
- PCEA may be used with or without a background infusion

### **IV. Removal of Retained Placenta**

- In general, there is no preferred anesthetic technique for removal of retained placenta
  - If an epidural catheter is in place and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is preferable
- Hemodynamic status should be assessed before administering neuraxial anesthesia
- Aspiration prophylaxis should be considered
- Sedation/analgesia should be titrated carefully due to the potential risks of respiratory depression and pulmonary aspiration during the immediate postpartum period
- In cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, general anesthesia with an endotracheal tube may be preferable to neuraxial anesthesia
- Nitroglycerin may be used as an alternative to terbutaline sulfate or

general endotracheal anesthesia with halogenated agents for uterine relaxation during removal of retained placental tissue

- Initiating treatment with incremental doses of intravenous or sublingual (*i.e.*, metered dose spray) nitroglycerin may relax the uterus sufficiently while minimizing potential complications (*e.g.*, hypotension)

### **V. Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery**

- Equipment, facilities, and support personnel available in the labor and delivery operating suite should be comparable to those available in the main operating suite
  - Resources for the treatment of potential complications (*e.g.*, failed intubation, inadequate analgesia, hypotension, respiratory depression, pruritus, vomiting) should be available in the labor and delivery operating suite
  - Appropriate equipment and personnel should be available to care for obstetric patients recovering from major neuraxial or general anesthesia
- The decision to use a particular anesthetic technique should be individualized based on anesthetic, obstetric, or fetal risk factors (*e.g.*, elective *vs.* emergency), the preferences of the patient, and the judgment of the anesthesiologist
  - Neuraxial techniques are preferred to general anesthesia for most cesarean deliveries
- An indwelling epidural catheter may provide equivalent onset of anesthesia compared with initiation of spinal anesthesia for urgent cesarean delivery
- If spinal anesthesia is chosen, pencil-point spinal needles should be used instead of cutting-bevel spinal needles
- General anesthesia may be the most appropriate choice in some circumstances (*e.g.*, profound fetal bradycardia, ruptured uterus, severe hemorrhage, severe placental abruption)
- Uterine displacement (usually left displacement) should be maintained until delivery regardless of the anesthetic technique used
- Intravenous fluid preloading may be used to reduce the frequency of maternal hypotension after spinal anesthesia for cesarean delivery
- Initiation of spinal anesthesia should not be delayed to administer a fixed volume of intravenous fluid
- Intravenous ephedrine and phenylephrine are both acceptable drugs for treating hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia
  - In the absence of maternal bradycardia, phenylephrine may be preferable because of improved fetal acid-base status in uncomplicated pregnancies
- For postoperative analgesia after neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery, neuraxial opioids are preferred over intermittent injections of parenteral opioids

### **VI. Postpartum Tubal Ligation**

- For postpartum tubal ligation, the patient should have no oral intake of solid foods within 6–8 h of the surgery, depending on the type of food ingested (*e.g.*, fat content)
- Aspiration prophylaxis should be considered
- Both the timing of the procedure and the decision to use a particular anesthetic technique (*i.e.*, neuraxial *vs.* general) should be individualized, based on anesthetic risk factors, obstetric risk factors (*e.g.*, blood loss), and patient preferences
- Neuraxial techniques are preferred to general anesthesia for most postpartum tubal ligations
  - Be aware that gastric emptying will be delayed in patients who have received opioids during labor and that an epidural catheter placed

for labor may be more likely to fail with longer postdelivery time intervals

- If a postpartum tubal ligation is to be performed before the patient is discharged from the hospital, the procedure should not be attempted at a time when it might compromise other aspects of patient care on the labor and delivery unit

### VII. Management of Obstetric and Anesthetic Emergencies

- Institutions providing obstetric care should have resources available to manage hemorrhagic emergencies
  - In an emergency, the use of type-specific or O negative blood is acceptable
  - In cases of intractable hemorrhage when banked blood is not available or the patient refuses banked blood, intraoperative cell-salvage should be considered if available
  - The decision to perform invasive hemodynamic monitoring should be individualized and based on clinical indications that include the patient's medical history and cardiovascular risk factors
- Labor and delivery units should have personnel and equipment readily available to manage airway emergencies, to include a pulse oximeter and qualitative carbon dioxide detector, consistent with the ASA Practice Guidelines for Management of the Difficult Airway
  - Basic airway management equipment should be immediately available during the provision of neuraxial analgesia
  - Portable equipment for difficult airway management should be readily available in the operative area of labor and delivery units
  - The anesthesiologist should have a preformulated strategy for intubation of the difficult airway
  - When tracheal intubation has failed, ventilation with mask and cricoid pressure, or with a laryngeal mask airway or supraglottic airway device (e.g., Combitube<sup>®</sup>, Intubating LMA [Fastrach<sup>™</sup>]) should be considered for maintaining an airway and ventilating the lungs
  - If it is not possible to ventilate or awaken the patient, an airway should be created surgically
- Basic and advanced life-support equipment should be immediately available in the operative area of labor and delivery units
- If cardiac arrest occurs during labor and delivery, standard resuscitative measures should be initiated
  - Uterine displacement (usually left displacement) should be maintained
  - If maternal circulation is not restored within 4 min, cesarean delivery should be performed by the obstetrics team

## Appendix 2: Methods and Analyses

The scientific assessment of these Guidelines was based on evidence linkages or statements regarding potential relationships between clinical interventions and outcomes. The interventions listed below were examined to assess their impact on a variety of outcomes related to obstetric anesthesia.‡‡

‡‡ Unless otherwise specified, outcomes for the listed interventions refer to the reduction of maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications.

§§ Additional outcomes include improved analgesia, analgesic use, maternal comfort, and satisfaction.

### 1. Perianesthetic Evaluation

- A directed history and physical examination
- Communication between anesthetic and obstetric providers
- A routine intrapartum platelet count does not reduce maternal anesthetic complications
- For suspected preeclampsia or coagulopathy an intrapartum platelet count
- An intrapartum blood type and screen for all parturients reduces maternal complications
- For healthy and uncomplicated parturients, a blood cross-match is unnecessary
- Perianesthetic recording of the fetal heart rate reduces fetal and neonatal complications

### 2. Aspiration Prophylaxis in the Obstetric Patient

- Oral intake of clear liquids during labor improves patient comfort and satisfaction but does not increase maternal complications
- Oral intake of solids during labor increases maternal complications
- A fasting period for solids of 6–8 h before an elective cesarean reduces maternal complications
- Nonparticulate antacids *versus* no antacids before operative procedures (excluding operative vaginal delivery) reduces maternal complications

### 3. Anesthetic Care for Labor and Delivery§§

- Neuraxial techniques
  - Prophylactic spinal or epidural catheter insertion for complicated parturients reduces maternal complications
  - Continuous epidural infusion of local anesthetics with or without opioids *versus* parenteral opioids
  - Continuous epidural infusion of local anesthetics with or without opioids *versus* spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics
  - Induction of epidural analgesia using local anesthetics with opioids *versus* equal concentrations of epidural local anesthetics without opioids
  - Induction of epidural analgesia using local anesthetics with opioids *versus* higher concentrations of epidural local anesthetics without opioids
  - Maintenance of epidural infusion of lower concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids *versus* higher concentrations of local anesthetics without opioids (e.g., bupivacaine concentrations < 0.125% with opioids *vs.* concentrations > 0.125% without opioids)
  - Single-injection spinal opioids with or without local anesthetics *versus* parenteral opioids
  - Single-injection spinal opioids with local anesthetics *versus* spinal opioids without local anesthetics
- Combined spinal–epidural (CSE) techniques
  - CSE local anesthetics with opioids *versus* epidural local anesthetics with opioids
- Patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA)
  - PCEA *versus* continuous infusion epidurals
  - PCEA with a background infusion *versus* PCEA without a background infusion
- Neuraxial analgesia, timing of initiation, and progress of labor
  - Administering epidural analgesia at cervical dilations of < 5 cm (*vs.* > 5 cm)
  - Neuraxial techniques for patients attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery

### 4. Removal of Retained Placenta

- If an epidural catheter is *in situ* and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is preferred over general or spinal anesthesia to improve the success at removing retained placenta

- ii. In cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, general anesthesia is preferred over neuraxial anesthesia to reduce maternal complications
- iii. Administration of nitroglycerin for uterine relaxation improves success at removing retained placenta

### 5. Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery

- i. Equipment, facilities, and support personnel available in the labor and delivery suite should be comparable to that available in the main operating suite
- ii. General anesthesia *versus* epidural anesthesia
- iii. General anesthesia *versus* spinal anesthesia
- iv. Epidural anesthesia *versus* spinal anesthesia
- v. CSE anesthesia *versus* epidural anesthesia
- vi. CSE anesthesia *versus* spinal anesthesia
- vii. Use of pencil-point spinal needles *versus* cutting-bevel spinal needles reduces maternal complications
- viii. Intravenous fluid preloading *versus* no intravenous fluid preloading for spinal anesthesia reduces maternal hypotension
- ix. Ephedrine or phenylephrine reduces maternal hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia
- x. Neuraxial opioids *versus* parenteral opioids for postoperative analgesia after neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery

### 6. Postpartum Tubal Ligation

- i. Neuraxial anesthesia *versus* general anesthesia
- ii. A postpartum tubal ligation within 8 h of delivery does not increase maternal complications

### 7. Management of Complications

- i. Availability of resources for management of hemorrhagic emergencies
- ii. Immediate availability of equipment for management of airway emergencies
- iii. Immediate availability of basic and advanced life-support equipment in the labor and delivery suite
- iv. Invasive hemodynamic monitoring for severely preeclamptic patients

Scientific evidence was derived from aggregated research literature, and opinion-based evidence was obtained from surveys, open presentations, and other activities (e.g., Internet posting). For purposes of literature aggregation, potentially relevant clinical studies were identified *via* electronic and manual searches of the literature. The electronic and manual searches covered a 67-yr period from 1940 through 2006. More than 4,000 citations were initially identified, yielding a total of 2,986 nonoverlapping articles that addressed topics related to the evidence linkages. After review of the articles, 2,549 studies did not provide direct evidence and were subsequently eliminated. A total of 437 articles contained direct linkage-related evidence.

Initially, each pertinent outcome reported in a study was classified as supporting an evidence linkage, refuting a linkage, or equivocal. The results were then summarized to obtain a directional assessment for each evidence linkage before conducting a formal meta-analysis. Literature pertaining to 11 evidence linkages contained enough studies with well-defined experimental designs and statistical information sufficient for meta-analyses. These linkages were (1) nonparticulate antacids *versus* no antacids, (2) continuous epidural infusion of local anesthetics with or without opioids *versus* parenteral opioids, (3) induction of epidural analgesia using local anesthetics with opioids *versus* equal concentrations of epidural local anesthetics without opioids, (4) maintenance of epidural infusion of lower concentrations of local anesthetics with opioids *versus* higher concentrations of local anesthetics without opioids, (5) CSE local anesthetics with opioids *versus* epidural local anesthetics with opioids, (6) PCEA *versus* con-

tinuous infusion epidurals, (7) general anesthesia *versus* epidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery, (8) CSE anesthesia *versus* epidural anesthesia for cesarean delivery, (9) use of pencil-point spinal needles *versus* cutting-bevel spinal needles, (10) ephedrine or phenylephrine reduces maternal hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia, and (11) neuraxial opioids *versus* parenteral opioids for postoperative analgesia after neuraxial anesthesia for cesarean delivery.

General variance-based effect-size estimates or combined probability tests were obtained for continuous outcome measures, and Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios were obtained for dichotomous outcome measures. Two combined probability tests were used as follows: (1) the Fisher combined test, producing chi-square values based on logarithmic transformations of the reported *P* values from the independent studies, and (2) the Stouffer combined test, providing weighted representation of the studies by weighting each of the standard normal deviates by the size of the sample. An odds ratio procedure based on the Mantel-Haenszel method for combining study results using  $2 \times 2$  tables was used with outcome frequency information. An acceptable significance level was set at  $P < 0.01$  (one-tailed). Tests for heterogeneity of the independent studies were conducted to assure consistency among the study results. DerSimonian-Laird random-effects odds ratios were obtained when significant heterogeneity was found ( $P < 0.01$ ). To control for potential publishing bias, a "fail-safe *n*" value was calculated. No search for unpublished studies was conducted, and no reliability tests for locating research results were done.

Meta-analytic results are reported in table 4. To be accepted as significant findings, Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios must agree with combined test results whenever both types of data are assessed. In the absence of Mantel-Haenszel odds ratios, findings from both the Fisher and weighted Stouffer combined tests must agree with each other to be acceptable as significant.

Interobserver agreement among Task Force members and two methodologists was established by interrater reliability testing. Agreement levels using a  $\kappa$  statistic for two-rater agreement pairs were as follows: (1) type of study design,  $\kappa = 0.83$ -0.94; (2) type of analysis,  $\kappa = 0.71$ -0.93; (3) evidence linkage assignment,  $\kappa = 0.87$ -1.00; and (4) literature inclusion for database,  $\kappa = 0.74$ -1.00. Three-rater chance-corrected agreement values were (1) study design,  $Sav = 0.884$ ,  $Var(Sav) = 0.004$ ; (2) type of analysis,  $Sav = 0.805$ ,  $Var(Sav) = 0.009$ ; (3) linkage assignment,  $Sav = 0.911$ ,  $Var(Sav) = 0.002$ ; and (4) literature database inclusion,  $Sav = 0.660$ ,  $Var(Sav) = 0.024$ . These values represent moderate to high levels of agreement.

Consensus was obtained from multiple sources, including (1) survey opinion from consultants who were selected based on their knowledge or expertise in obstetric anesthesia or maternal and fetal medicine, (2) survey opinions solicited from active members of the ASA, (3) testimony from attendees of publicly held open forums at two national anesthesia meetings, (4) Internet commentary, and (5) Task Force opinion and interpretation. The survey rate of return was 75% ( $n = 76$  of 102) for the consultants, and 2,326 surveys were received from active ASA members. Results of the surveys are reported in tables 5 and 6 and in the text of the Guidelines.

The consultants were asked to indicate which, if any, of the evidence linkages would change their clinical practices if the Guidelines were instituted. The rate of return was 35% ( $n = 36$ ). The percent of responding consultants expecting *no change* associated with each linkage were as follows: perianesthetic evaluation—97%; aspiration prophylaxis—83%; anesthetic care for labor and delivery—89%; removal of retained placenta—97%; anesthetic choices for cesarean delivery—97%; postpartum tubal ligation—97%; and management of complications—94%. Ninety-seven percent of the respondents indicated that the Guidelines would have *no effect* on the amount of time spent on a typical case. One respondent indicated that there would be an increase of 5 min in the amount of time spent on a typical case with the implementation of these Guidelines.

Table 4. Meta-analysis Summary

Linkages	n	Fisher Chi- square	P	Weighted Stouffer Zc	P	Effect Size	Mantel- Haenszel OR	Heterogeneity		
								CI	Significance	Effect Size
<b>Aspiration Prophylaxis</b>										
Nonparticulate antacids vs. no antacids										
Gastric pH*	5	66.80	0.001	9.78	0.001	0.88	—	—	0.001	0.001
Metoclopramide vs. no metoclopramide										
Nausea	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.25	0.14–0.46	—	NS
Vomiting	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.36	0.19–0.68	—	NS
<b>Anesthetic Care for Labor and Vaginal Delivery</b>										
CIE local anesthetics ± opioids vs. IV opioids										
Duration of labor 1st stage	5	50.19	0.001	5.42	0.001	0.15	—	—	NS	NS
Duration of labor 2nd stage	7	67.53	0.001	4.84	0.001	0.21	—	—	NS	0.001
Spontaneous delivery	8	—	—	—	—	—	0.53	0.42–0.68	—	NS
Cesarean delivery†	8	—	—	—	—	—	0.88	0.50–1.47	—	0.01
Fetal acidosis	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.71	0.51–0.98	—	NS
1-min Apgar	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.62	1.03–2.54	—	NS
5-min Apgar	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.17	0.41–3.32	—	NS
Epidural induction LA+O vs. equal LA doses										
Analgesia (mean, SD)	6	91.21	0.001	17.70	0.001	0.99	—	—	0.001	0.001
Analgesia (pain relief)	5	—	—	—	—	—	4.03	2.14–7.56	—	NS
Duration of labor	5	38.62	0.001	0.04	0.480	0.01	—	—	0.001	0.001
Spontaneous delivery	8	—	—	—	—	—	0.97	0.69–1.35	—	NS
Hypotension	8	—	—	—	—	—	0.79	0.44–1.44	—	NS
Motor block*	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.44	0.24–0.81	—	NS
Pruritus	7	—	—	—	—	—	6.15	3.22–11.74	—	NS
1-min Apgar	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.82	0.45–1.51	—	NS
Epidural maintenance LA+O vs. higher LA doses										
Duration of labor	5	19.82	0.030	1.99	0.020	0.05	—	—	NS	NS
Spontaneous delivery	8	—	—	—	—	—	1.08	0.82–1.42	—	NS
Motor block	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.29	0.21–0.40	—	NS
1-min Apgar	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.94	0.60–1.47	—	NS
Pencil-point vs. cutting-bevel spinal needles										
Post-dural puncture headache	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.34	0.18–0.63	—	NS
CSE LA+O vs. epidural LA+O										
Analgesia (pain relief)†	7	—	—	—	—	—	1.16	0.62–1.85	—	0.010
Satisfaction with analgesia	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.45	0.89–2.34	—	NS
Analgesia (time to onset)	5	57.80	0.001	−13.33	0.001	0.90	—	—	0.001	0.001
Spontaneous delivery	13	—	—	—	—	—	0.99	0.85–1.15	—	NS
Hypotension	6	—	—	—	—	—	1.76	0.73–4.26	—	NS
Motor block	7	—	—	—	—	—	1.20	0.90–1.60	—	NS
Nausea	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.22	0.63–2.36	—	NS
Pruritus†	9	—	—	—	—	—	4.86	1.63–14.65	—	0.001
Motor block	7	—	—	—	—	—	1.20	0.90–1.60	—	NS
Fetal heart rate changes	6	—	—	—	—	—	1.25	0.92–1.70	—	NS
1-min Apgar	6	—	—	—	—	—	1.16	0.76–1.78	—	NS
5-min Apgar	6	—	—	—	—	—	1.36	0.52–3.56	—	NS
PCEA vs. CIE										
Pain relief/score	5	21.78	0.020	0.17	0.433	0.04	—	—	NS	NS
Analgesic use	7	84.98	0.001	10.74	0.001	0.85	—	—	0.001	0.001
Duration of labor 1st stage	5	42.42	0.001	5.24	0.001	0.44	—	—	0.008	0.001
Duration of labor 2nd stage	6	43.08	0.001	2.01	0.022	0.18	—	—	0.001	0.001
Spontaneous delivery	13	—	—	—	—	—	1.22	0.83–1.79	—	NS
Motor block†	7	—	—	—	—	—	0.52	0.15–3.44	—	0.010
1-min Apgar	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.63	0.27–1.50	—	NS
PCEA with background infusion vs. PCEA										
Analgesia (pain relief)	5	—	—	—	—	—	3.33	1.87–5.92	—	NS
Spontaneous delivery	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.83	0.41–1.69	—	NS
Motor block	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.18	0.47–2.97	—	NS

(continued)

Table 4. Continued

Linkages	n	Fisher Chi- square	P	Weighted Stouffer Zc	P	Effect Size	Mantel- Haenszel OR	Heterogeneity		
								CI	Significance	Effect Size
Early vs. late epidural Cesarean delivery	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.95	0.67–1.35	—	NS
<b>Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery</b>										
GA vs. epidural										
Umbilical pH	5	49.04	0.001	0.52	0.300	0.37	—	—	0.001	0.001
1-min Apgar	5	49.04	0.001	-2.72	0.003	0.01	—	—	0.010	0.010
5-min Apgar	5	28.40	0.005	-2.95	0.002	0.08	—	—	NS	NS
CSE vs. epidural										
Hypotension	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.92	0.44–1.94	—	NS
Umbilical pH	5	55.91	0.001	1.80	0.036	0.11	—	—	0.001	0.001
1-min Apgar	5	—	—	—	—	—	0.55	0.22–1.52	—	NS
Fluid preloading vs. no preloading										
Hypotension*	6	—	—	—	—	—	0.46	0.29–0.73	—	NS
Ephedrine vs. placebo										
Hypotension	7	—	—	—	—	—	0.26	0.14–0.48	—	NS
Ephedrine vs. phenylephrine										
Hypotension	6	—	—	—	—	—	1.74	0.97–3.12	—	NS
Umbilical pH	6	59.68	0.001	-7.55	0.001	0.71	—	—	0.001	0.001
Neuraxial vs. parenteral O for postoperative analgesia										
Analgesia	7	75.12	0.001	5.82	0.001	0.61	—	—	0.001	0.001
Nausea	9	—	—	—	—	—	1.13	0.57–2.22	—	NS
Vomiting	5	—	—	—	—	—	1.02	0.36–2.87	—	NS
Pruritus	9	—	—	—	—	—	6.23	3.32–11.68	—	NS

\* Nonrandomized comparative studies included in analysis. † DerSimonian-Laird random effects odds ratio (OR).

CI = confidence interval; CIE = continuous infusion epidural; CSE = combined spinal-epidural; GA = general anesthesia; IV = intravenous; LA = local anesthetics; LA+O = local anesthetics with opioids; NS = not significant; O = opioids; PCEA = patient-controlled epidural analgesia.

Table 5. Consultant Survey Responses

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>Perianesthetic Evaluation</b>						
1. Directed history and physical examination reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	76	72.4*	26.3	1.3	0.0	0.0
2. Communication between anesthetic and obstetric providers reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	76	89.5*	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
3. A routine intrapartum platelet count <b>does not reduce</b> maternal anesthetic complications	75	36.0	44.0*	8.0	10.7	1.3
4. An intrapartum platelet count reduces maternal anesthetic complications:						
For suspected preeclampsia	76	46.1	36.8*	9.2	7.9	0.0
For suspected coagulopathy	76	59.2*	32.9	5.3	2.6	0.0
5. All parturients should have an intrapartum blood sample sent to the blood bank to reduce maternal complications	76	21.1	32.9*	17.1	26.3	2.6
6. Perianesthetic recording of the fetal heart rate reduces fetal and neonatal complications	76	18.4	59.2*	13.2	9.2	0.0
<b>Aspiration Prophylaxis</b>						
7a. Oral intake of clear liquids <i>during</i> labor improves patient comfort and satisfaction	76	32.9	60.5*	1.3	3.9	1.3
7b. Oral intake of clear liquids <i>during labor</i> <b>does not</b> increase maternal complications	75	16.0	45.3*	22.7	12.0	4.0
8a. Oral intake of solids <i>during labor</i> increases maternal complications	76	47.4	32.9*	10.5	5.3	3.9
8b. The patient undergoing elective cesarean delivery should undergo a fasting period for solids of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested ( <i>e.g.</i> , fat content)	76	65.8*	30.3	3.9	0.0	0.0
8c. The patient undergoing elective postpartum tubal ligation should undergo a fasting period for solids of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested ( <i>e.g.</i> , fat content)	76	56.6*	27.6	9.2	5.3	1.3
9. Administration of a nonparticulate antacid before operative procedures reduces maternal complications	75	29.3	45.3*	18.7	5.3	1.3
<b>Anesthetic Care for Labor and Delivery</b>						
<b>Neuraxial techniques:</b>						
10. Prophylactic spinal or epidural catheter insertion for complicated parturients reduces maternal complications	75	42.7	40.0*	16.0	1.3	0.0
11. <b>Continuous epidural infusion</b> using local anesthetics with or without opioids vs. <b>parenteral opioids:</b>						
Improves analgesia	75	84.0*	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Increases the duration of labor	75	4.0	24.0	21.3	36.0*	14.7
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	4.1	16.2	12.2	41.9*	25.7
Increases maternal side effects	75	1.3	8.0	14.7	42.7*	33.3
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	75	0.0	4.0	6.7	46.7*	42.7
12. <b>Continuous epidural infusion</b> using local anesthetics with or without opioids vs. <b>spinal</b> opioids with or without local anesthetics:						
Improves analgesia	74	12.2	25.7	20.3*	35.1	6.8
Increases the duration of labor	75	0.0	16.0	37.3*	34.7	12.0
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	73	0.0	9.6	26.0	45.2*	19.2
Increases maternal motor block	74	5.4	41.9	17.6*	28.4	6.8
Increases maternal side effects	74	0.0	6.8	27.0	52.7*	13.5
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	75	0.0	1.3	21.3	52.0*	25.3
13a. <b>Induction</b> of epidural analgesia using local anesthetics <i>with</i> opioids vs. epidural analgesia with <b>equal concentrations</b> of local anesthetics <i>without</i> opioids:						
Improves analgesia	74	54.1*	39.2	1.4	4.1	1.4
Increases maternal side effects	74	6.8	28.4	10.8	45.9*	8.1
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	74	0.0	2.7	12.2	59.5*	25.7
13b. Induction of epidural analgesia using low-dose local anesthetics with opioids vs. higher concentrations of epidural local anesthetics without opioids:						
Improves analgesia	74	23.0	21.6	21.6*	32.4	1.4
Increases maternal side effects	74	0.0	10.8	12.2	50.0*	27.0
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	74	0.0	2.7	17.6	52.7*	27.0
14a. <b>Maintenance</b> of epidural infusion of lower concentrations of local anesthetics <i>with</i> opioids vs. higher concentrations of local anesthetics <i>without</i> opioids:						
Improves analgesia	74	21.6	28.4*	27.0	23.0	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	74	4.1	35.1	40.5*	17.6	2.7
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	12.2	60.8*	14.9	10.8	1.4
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	74	51.4*	43.2	5.4	0.0	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	74	16.2	44.6*	23.0	16.2	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> fetal and neonatal side effects	74	8.1	24.3	32.4*	32.4	2.7
14b. <b>Maintenance</b> of epidural analgesia using bupivacaine $\leq$ 0.125% <i>with</i> opioids vs. bupivacaine concentrations $>$ 0.125% <i>without</i> opioids:						
Improves analgesia	74	21.6	33.8*	21.6	23.0	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	74	6.8	33.8	45.9*	12.2	1.4
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	14.9	52.7*	24.3	8.1	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	74	40.5	51.4*	5.4	2.7	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	74	14.9	41.9*	25.7	17.6	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> fetal and neonatal side effects	74	4.1	31.1	35.1*	28.4	1.4

(continued)

Table 5. Continued

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
15. Single-injection <b>spinal</b> opioids with or without local anesthetics vs. <b>parenteral</b> opioids:						
Improve analgesia	74	68.9*	28.4	2.7	0.0	0.0
Increase the duration of labor	74	1.4	5.4	20.3	51.4*	21.6
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	1.4	8.1	10.8	54.1*	25.7
Increase maternal side effects	74	0.0	25.7	25.7*	36.5	12.2
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	74	0.0	9.5	16.2	51.4*	23.0
16. Single-injection spinal opioids <b>with</b> local anesthetics vs. spinal opioids <b>without</b> local anesthetics:						
Improve analgesia	74	44.6	44.6*	4.1	5.4	1.4
Increase the duration of labor	74	2.7	6.8	25.7	51.4*	13.5
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	2.7	5.4	23.0	58.1*	10.8
Increase maternal motor block	74	13.5	54.1*	9.5	21.6	1.4
Increase maternal side effects	74	1.4	27.0	23.0*	40.5	8.1
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	74	0.0	4.1	23.0	58.1*	14.9
<b>Combined spinal-epidural (CSE) techniques:</b>						
17. <b>CSE</b> local anesthetics with opioids vs. <b>epidural</b> local anesthetics with opioids:						
Improve <b>early</b> analgesia	74	48.6	35.1*	5.4	10.8	0.0
Improve <b>overall</b> analgesia	74	18.9	31.1	23.0*	25.7	1.4
<b>Decrease</b> the duration of labor	74	4.1	18.9	47.3*	29.7	0.0
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	73	0.0	2.7	19.2	61.6*	16.4
<b>Reduce</b> maternal motor block	74	5.4	37.8	24.3*	32.4	0.0
Increase maternal side effects	74	0.0	18.9	24.3	54.1*	2.7
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	74	0.0	5.4	27.0	55.4*	12.2
<b>Patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA):</b>						
18. PCEA vs. continuous infusion epidurals:						
Improves analgesia	75	16.0	41.3*	26.7	12.0	4.0
Improves maternal satisfaction	75	41.3	46.7*	8.0	2.7	1.3
<b>Reduces</b> the need for anesthetic interventions	75	42.7	36.0*	10.7	9.3	1.3
Increases the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	4.1	13.5	45.9*	33.8	2.7
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	75	9.3	38.7	24.0*	26.7	1.3
<b>Decreases</b> maternal side effects	75	5.3	28.0	30.7*	34.7	1.3
19. PCEA <b>with</b> a background infusion vs. PCEA <b>without</b> a background infusion:						
Improves analgesia	74	23.0	54.1*	16.2	6.8	0.0
Improves maternal satisfaction	74	24.3	43.2*	23.0	9.5	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> the need for anesthetic interventions	74	21.6	56.8*	12.2	9.5	0.0
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	0.0	4.1	41.9	51.4*	2.7
Increases maternal motor block	74	1.4	39.2	25.7*	32.4	1.4
Increases maternal side effects	74	1.4	13.5	29.7	52.7*	2.7
<b>Neuraxial Analgesia, Timing of Initiation, and Progress of Labor</b>						
20. Administering epidural analgesia at cervical dilations of < 5 centimeters (vs. ≥ 5 cm):						
Improves analgesia	75	50.7*	32.0	9.3	6.7	1.3
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	75	0.0	6.7	45.3*	41.3	6.7
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	74	0.0	10.8	48.6*	32.4	8.1
Increases maternal motor block	75	1.3	28.0	17.3	42.7*	10.7
Increases maternal side effects	75	1.3	5.3	20.0	61.3*	12.0
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	75	0.0	4.0	17.3	58.7*	20.0
21. Neuraxial techniques improve the likelihood of vaginal delivery for patients attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery						
	75	21.3	36.0*	33.3	8.0	1.3
<b>Removal of Retained Placenta</b>						
22. If an epidural catheter is <i>in situ</i> and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is the preferred technique						
	75	66.7*	30.7	2.7	0.0	0.0
23. In cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, a general endotracheal anesthetic is preferred over neuraxial anesthesia						
	75	30.7	48.0*	12.0	6.7	2.9
24. Administration of nitroglycerin for uterine relaxation improves success at removing retained placenta						
	75	34.7	48.0*	9.3	6.7	1.3
<b>Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery</b>						
25. Equipment, facilities, and support personnel available in the labor and delivery operating suite should be comparable to that available in the main operating suite						
	74	82.4*	16.2	1.4	0.0	0.0
26. General anesthesia vs. epidural anesthesia:						
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	74	40.5	37.8*	8.1	9.5	4.1
Increases maternal complications	74	37.8	47.3*	9.5	5.4	0.0
Increases fetal and neonatal complications	74	14.9	28.4	24.3*	29.7	2.7
27. General anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	74	20.3	35.1*	12.2	28.4	4.1
Increases maternal complications	74	33.8	50.0*	6.8	8.1	1.4
Increases fetal and neonatal complications	74	12.2	28.4	23.0*	33.8	2.7

(continued)

Table 5. Continued

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28. Epidural anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
Increases time to skin incision	74	43.2	43.2*	8.1	5.4	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> quality of anesthesia	74	12.2	56.8*	9.5	17.6	4.1
Increases maternal complications	74	1.4	13.5	28.4	48.6*	8.1
29. CSE anesthesia vs. epidural anesthesia:						
Improves anesthesia	73	20.5	47.9*	20.5	11.0	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	73	17.8	53.4*	12.3	16.4	0.0
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	73	2.7	12.3	30.1	52.1*	2.7
30. CSE anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
Improves anesthesia	72	1.4	15.3	25.0	52.8*	5.6
Increases flexibility for prolonged procedures	73	61.6*	32.9	4.1	1.4	0.0
Increases time to skin incision	73	6.8	49.3*	17.8	21.9	4.1
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	73	1.4	11.0	37.0	47.9*	2.9
31. Use of pencil-point spinal needles vs. cutting-bevel spinal needles reduces maternal complications	73	75.3*	23.3	1.4	0.0	0.0
32. Intravenous fluid preloading vs. no intravenous fluid preloading for spinal anesthesia reduces maternal hypotension	73	30.1	46.6*	12.3	9.6	1.4
33a. Intravenous ephedrine is an acceptable agent to treat hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia	75	48.0	49.3*	1.3	1.3	0.0
33b. Intravenous phenylephrine is an acceptable agent to treat hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia	75	50.7*	40.0	6.7	2.7	0.0
34. Neuraxial opioids vs. parenteral opioids for postoperative analgesia after regional anesthesia for cesarean delivery:						
Improves analgesia	69	60.9*	33.3	5.8	0.0	0.0
Improves maternal satisfaction	69	52.2*	33.3	8.7	5.8	0.0
<b>Postpartum Tubal Ligation</b>						
35. Neuraxial vs. general anesthesia <b>reduces</b> maternal complications	70	24.3	58.6*	12.9	2.9	1.4
36. An immediate ( $\leq$ 8 h) postpartum tubal ligation <b>does not</b> increase maternal complications	70	14.3	50.0*	22.9	11.4	1.4
<b>Management of Complications</b>						
37. Availability of resources for management of hemorrhagic emergencies <b>reduces</b> maternal complications	70	74.3*	25.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
38. Immediate availability of equipment for management of airway emergencies <b>reduces</b> maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	70	80.0*	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
39. Immediate availability of basic and advanced life-support equipment in the labor and delivery suite <b>reduces</b> maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	70	78.6*	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
40. Routine use of central venous or pulmonary artery catheterization <b>reduces</b> maternal complications in severely preeclamptic patients	70	0.0	10.0	12.9	55.7*	21.4

\* Median.

n = number of consultants who responded to each item.

Table 6. ASA Membership Survey Responses

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
<b>Perianesthetic Evaluation</b>						
1. Directed history and physical examination reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	2,324	57.5*	38.3	3.0	1.0	0.1
2. Communication between anesthetic and obstetric providers reduces maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	2,321	77.9*	21.3	0.6	0.2	0.1
3. A routine intrapartum platelet count <b>does not reduce</b> maternal anesthetic complications	2,320	11.9	36.2	22.3*	23.6	6.0
4. An intrapartum platelet count reduces maternal anesthetic complications:						
For suspected preeclampsia	2,326	35.8	47.9*	11.4	4.3	0.6
For suspected coagulopathy	2,323	46.8	43.5*	6.2	2.8	0.6
5. All parturients should have an intrapartum blood sample sent to the blood bank to reduce maternal complications	2,317	22.1	34.3*	19.0	21.9	2.7
6. Perianesthetic recording of the fetal heart rate reduces fetal and neonatal complications	2,319	25.0	38.5*	25.2	9.9	1.6
<b>Aspiration Prophylaxis</b>						
7a. Oral intake of clear liquids <i>during</i> labor improves patient comfort and satisfaction	2,283	15.4	65.5*	12.1	6.2	0.8
7b. Oral intake of clear liquids <i>during labor</i> <b>does not</b> increase maternal complications	2,285	6.7	40.2	23.6*	23.5	6.0
8a. Oral intake of solids <i>during labor</i> increases maternal complications	2,284	48.2	38.0*	9.9	2.8	1.1
8b. The patient undergoing elective cesarean delivery should undergo a fasting period for solids of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested ( <i>e.g.</i> , fat content)	2,283	66.8*	30.3	1.1	1.3	0.5
8c. The patient undergoing elective postpartum tubal ligation should undergo a fasting period for solids of 6–8 h depending on the type of food ingested ( <i>e.g.</i> , fat content)	2,281	66.9*	30.2	1.1	1.4	0.4
9. Administration of a nonparticulate antacid before operative procedures reduces maternal complications	2,281	24.5	43.3*	24.0	7.2	1.1
<b>Anesthetic Care for Labor and Delivery</b>						
<b>Neuraxial techniques:</b>						
10. Prophylactic spinal or epidural catheter insertion for complicated parturients reduces maternal complications	2,071	17.6	42.4*	26.9	11.8	1.2
11. <b>Continuous epidural infusion</b> using local anesthetics with or without opioids vs. <b>parenteral opioids:</b>						
Improves analgesia	2,170	73.6*	25.1	0.8	0.4	0.1
Increases the duration of labor	2,174	1.2	14.4	19.0	51.7*	13.8
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	2,171	0.8	7.4	16.9	53.3*	21.6
Increases maternal side effects	2,169	0.6	12.0	9.8	58.9*	18.7
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	2,168	0.3	3.0	7.5	61.3*	27.9
12. <b>Continuous epidural infusion</b> using local anesthetics with or without opioids vs. <b>spinal</b> opioids with or without local anesthetics:						
Improves analgesia	2,160	17.4	36.5*	24.8	20.2	1.2
Increases the duration of labor	2,161	0.8	8.9	31.8	49.7*	8.8
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	2,158	0.6	5.8	27.7	53.7*	12.3
Increases maternal motor block	2,149	3.7	36.0	16.1*	38.7	5.4
Increases maternal side effects	2,152	0.7	10.2	21.9	58.4*	8.8
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	2,153	0.4	4.2	20.9	61.2*	13.3
13a. <b>Induction</b> of epidural analgesia using local anesthetics <i>with opioids</i> vs. epidural analgesia with <b>equal concentrations</b> of local anesthetics <i>without opioids</i> :						
Improves analgesia	2,153	34.6	46.1*	6.2	10.8	2.3
Increases maternal side effects	2,150	2.6	38.0	12.8*	40.4	6.2
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	2,142	0.7	7.5	17.5	63.1*	11.3
13b. <b>Induction</b> of epidural analgesia using low-dose local anesthetics with opioids vs. higher concentrations of epidural local anesthetics <i>without opioids</i> :						
Improves analgesia	2,155	13.1	31.7	26.9*	26.6	1.7
Increases maternal side effects	2,154	1.1	13.8	15.8	55.7*	13.6
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	2,147	0.6	4.5	19.3	60.8*	14.8
14a. <b>Maintenance</b> of epidural infusion of lower concentrations of local anesthetics <i>with opioids</i> vs. higher concentrations of local anesthetics <i>without opioids</i> :						
Improves analgesia	1,977	17.2	38.5*	24.0	19.2	1.0
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	1,980	3.9	28.0	44.9*	21.6	1.6
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,977	6.9	41.1	35.9*	15.1	1.0
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	1,977	31.3	63.0*	2.9	2.4	0.4
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	1,971	11.4	47.1*	26.8	14.0	0.9
<b>Reduces</b> fetal and neonatal side effects	1,972	7.4	34.4	38.1*	18.6	1.5
14b. <b>Maintenance</b> of epidural analgesia using bupivacaine $\leq 0.125\%$ <i>with opioids</i> vs. bupivacaine concentrations $> 0.125\%$ <i>without opioids</i> :						
Improves analgesia	1,973	16.5	38.6*	23.9	19.7	1.4
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	1,975	4.4	25.6	46.9*	21.5	1.7
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,973	6.1	36.9	38.9*	16.7	1.4
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	1,967	23.4	63.7*	5.3	6.5	1.1
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	1,960	9.2	44.7*	27.0	18.1	1.0
<b>Reduces</b> fetal and neonatal side effects	1,957	6.3	31.3	39.0*	21.6	1.8

(continued)

Table 6. Continued

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
15. Single-injection <b>spinal</b> opioids with or without local anesthetics vs. <b>parenteral</b> opioids:						
Improve analgesia	1,966	36.9	50.2*	8.9	3.6	0.5
Increase the duration of labor	1,963	0.4	2.7	31.5	55.8*	9.6
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,967	0.4	2.8	27.9	58.3*	10.7
Increase maternal side effects	1,958	2.1	23.7	23.1	45.1*	5.8
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	1,960	0.7	7.7	25.6	55.9*	10.2
16. Single-injection spinal opioids <b>with</b> local anesthetics vs. spinal opioids <b>without</b> local anesthetics:						
Improve analgesia	1,961	29.2	55.6*	9.4	5.5	0.4
Increase the duration of labor	1,960	1.1	10.2	43.0*	41.2	4.6
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,959	0.8	8.1	38.4	47.1*	5.7
Increase maternal motor block	1,955	12.5	59.0*	11.6	15.4	1.4
Increase maternal side effects	1,951	2.5	33.1	28.9*	33.1	2.4
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	1,954	1.0	11.3	36.2	46.8*	4.7
<b>Combined spinal-epidural (CSE) techniques:</b>						
17. <b>CSE</b> local anesthetics with opioids vs. <b>epidural</b> local anesthetics with opioids:						
Improve <b>early</b> analgesia	1,887	31.1	44.6*	11.7	11.2	1.5
Improve <b>overall</b> analgesia	1,884	14.0	26.8	27.1*	28.7	3.5
<b>Decrease</b> the duration of labor	1,884	1.5	8.8	48.2*	38.2	3.4
<b>Decrease</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,882	0.3	3.1	38.5	52.1*	6.0
<b>Reduce</b> maternal motor block	1,880	4.0	23.8	27.6*	41.0	3.5
Increase maternal side effects	1,877	2.0	28.2	33.0*	34.2	2.6
Increase fetal and neonatal side effects	1,872	0.9	11.4	37.1	45.3*	5.2
<b>Patient-controlled epidural analgesia (PCEA):</b>						
18. PCEA vs. continuous infusion epidurals:						
Improves analgesia	1,852	15.3	40.1*	29.2	14.6	0.8
Improves maternal satisfaction	1,848	27.8	46.5*	19.6	5.6	0.5
<b>Reduces</b> the need for anesthetic interventions	1,849	22.4	42.9*	21.4	12.1	1.1
Increases the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,845	2.6	12.1	56.9*	26.4	2.1
<b>Reduces</b> maternal motor block	1,846	4.3	34.1	40.4*	20.5	0.8
<b>Decreases</b> maternal side effects	1,838	3.8	27.0	46.5*	21.9	0.9
19. PCEA <b>with</b> a background infusion vs. PCEA <b>without</b> a background infusion:						
Improves analgesia	1,840	26.0	48.4*	20.8	4.7	0.3
Improves maternal satisfaction	1,840	25.4	46.0*	24.1	4.2	0.3
<b>Reduces</b> the need for anesthetic interventions	1,829	22.4	46.0*	24.7	6.6	0.3
<b>Decreases</b> the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,831	0.8	4.3	48.6*	41.6	4.8
Increases maternal motor block	1,837	1.0	27.3	40.8*	28.6	2.2
Increases maternal side effects	1,828	0.8	12.8	43.5*	39.6	3.3
<b>Neuraxial Analgesia, Timing of Initiation, and Progress of Labor</b>						
20. Administering epidural analgesia at cervical dilations of < 5 centimeters (vs. > 5 cm):						
Improves analgesia	1,831	25.9	52.7*	10.4	10.1	0.9
<b>Reduces</b> the duration of labor	1,825	1.9	13.5	40.1*	41.2	3.4
Improves the chance of spontaneous delivery	1,823	1.8	14.9	49.4*	30.9	3.0
Increases maternal motor block	1,819	0.9	20.5	21.2	53.4*	4.0
Increases maternal side effects	1,821	0.7	11.0	22.3	61.1*	5.0
Increases fetal and neonatal side effects	1,820	0.3	4.3	23.0	64.6*	7.7
21. Neuraxial techniques improve the likelihood of vaginal delivery for patients attempting vaginal birth after previous cesarean delivery	1,816	8.7	41.6*	37.9	10.1	1.7
<b>Removal of Retained Placenta</b>						
22. If an epidural catheter is <i>in situ</i> and the patient is hemodynamically stable, epidural anesthesia is the preferred technique	1,821	30.8	59.5*	4.3	4.4	1.0
23. In cases involving major maternal hemorrhage, a general endotracheal anesthetic is preferred over neuraxial anesthesia	1,823	36.0	48.8*	6.9	7.5	0.9
24. Administration of nitroglycerin for uterine relaxation improves success at removing retained placenta	1,812	15.6	54.1*	26.4	3.5	0.4
<b>Anesthetic Choices for Cesarean Delivery</b>						
25. Equipment, facilities, and support personnel available in the labor and delivery operating suite should be comparable to that available in the main operating suite	1,815	78.3*	20.3	0.5	0.9	0.1
26. General anesthesia vs. epidural anesthesia:						
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	1,826	30.9	46.3*	6.8	14.3	1.6
Increases maternal complications	1,824	27.3	50.1*	10.9	9.6	2.0
Increases fetal and neonatal complications	1,825	13.9	37.5*	23.2	22.8	2.6
27. General anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	1,823	13.1	37.2*	13.7	30.1	6.0
Increases maternal complications	1,815	23.8	49.6*	10.7	13.8	2.0
Increases fetal and neonatal complications	1,803	13.6	37.2*	21.9	24.6	2.8

(continued)

Table 6. Continued

	n	Percent Responding to Each Item				
		Strongly Agree	Agree	Uncertain	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
28. Epidural anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
Increases time to skin incision	1,823	32.1	54.3*	3.8	8.7	1.0
<b>Reduces</b> quality of anesthesia	1,821	15.0	51.0*	8.8	21.5	3.6
Increases maternal complications	1,816	1.2	8.8	24.5	59.1*	6.4
29. CSE anesthesia vs. epidural anesthesia:						
Improves anesthesia	1,794	18.7	45.4*	22.6	12.5	0.9
<b>Reduces</b> time to skin incision	1,795	14.7	38.2*	21.7	23.2	2.3
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	1,791	2.6	9.4	42.4*	43.3	2.4
30. CSE anesthesia vs. spinal anesthesia:						
Improves anesthesia	1,800	4.4	14.3	28.5	48.2*	4.6
Increases flexibility for prolonged procedures	1,808	32.1	54.8*	10.2	2.5	0.4
Increases time to skin incision	1,804	9.9	48.7*	17.7	22.1	1.7
<b>Reduces</b> maternal side effects	1,802	0.9	7.7	41.6*	46.1	3.7
31. Use of pencil-point spinal needles vs. cutting-bevel spinal needles reduces maternal complications	1,819	51.7*	39.4	5.7	2.9	0.4
32. Intravenous fluid preloading vs. no intravenous fluid preloading for spinal anesthesia reduces maternal hypotension	1,817	40.0	43.0*	9.0	6.5	1.4
33a. Intravenous ephedrine is an acceptable agent to treat hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia	1,819	50.7*	47.3	0.9	1.0	0.1
33b. Intravenous phenylephrine is an acceptable agent to treat hypotension during neuraxial anesthesia	1,820	31.9	52.8*	6.0	8.0	1.3
34. Neuraxial opioids vs. parenteral opioids for postoperative analgesia after regional anesthesia for cesarean delivery:						
Improves analgesia	1,822	40.1	49.7*	6.9	3.0	0.3
Improves maternal satisfaction	1,816	35.0	47.4*	13.0	4.1	0.6
<b>Postpartum Tubal Ligation</b>						
35. Neuraxial vs. general anesthesia <b>reduces</b> maternal complications	1,812	28.8	45.0*	15.2	9.4	1.6
36. An immediate ( $\leq$ 8 h) postpartum tubal ligation <b>does not</b> increase maternal complications	1,814	6.4	34.1	32.3*	23.0	4.2
<b>Management of Complications</b>						
37. Availability of resources for management of hemorrhagic emergencies <b>reduces</b> maternal complications	1,823	67.9*	30.8	1.0	0.3	0.0
38. Immediate availability of equipment for management of airway emergencies <b>reduces</b> maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	1,817	77.2*	22.1	0.6	0.2	0.0
39. Immediate availability of basic and advanced life-support equipment in the labor and delivery suite <b>reduces</b> maternal, fetal, and neonatal complications	1,812	73.4*	24.8	1.6	0.2	0.0
40. Routine use of central venous or pulmonary artery catheterization <b>reduces</b> maternal complications in severely preeclamptic patients	1,822	3.2	13.3	33.0	40.8*	9.6

\* Median.

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; n = number of members who responded to each item.